



STUDY ON OPERATORS IN 2-FUZZY 2-INNER PRODUCT SPACE

THANGARAJ BEAULA and R. ABIRAMI

PG and Research, Department of Mathematics
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli) T.B.M.L. College
Porayar-609307, India
E-mail: edwinbeaula@yahoo.co.in

PG and Research, Department of Mathematics
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University
Tiruchirappalli) D.G.G.A. College (W)
Mayiladuthurai-609001, India
E-mail: mithraa.abi@gmail.com

Abstract

The study on operators in 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space is introduced in this paper. Notions such as self-adjoint fuzzy operator, normal fuzzy operator and unitary operator are coined and some properties of such fuzzy operators are discussed.

1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [13] introduced the idea of fuzzy sets, that established a new revolutionary field in mathematics. Katsaras [6] introduced the concept of a fuzzy norm on a linear space in 1984. Chen and Mordeson [2], Bag and Samanta [1], and others have provided several definitions of fuzzy normed spaces. Somasundaram and Thangaraj Beaula [10] coined the notion of 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear spaces, and Thangaraj Beaula and Gifty [12] further developed some standard results. C. R. Diminnie, S. Gahler and A. White [3] introduced the idea of 2-inner product space. Further definitions of fuzzy inner product space [4, 7] and fuzzy normed linear space [5, 8, 9] were given

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03E72; Secondary 46S40.

Keywords: self-adjoint fuzzy operator, normal fuzzy operator and unitary operator.

Received October 23, 2021; Accepted November 11, 2021

by various authors. In [11], Vijayabalaji and Thilaigovindan proposed fuzzy n -inner product space as a generalization of then-inner product space. This paper introduces the study on operators in 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space is introduced in this paper. Various operators such as self-adjoint fuzzy operator, normal fuzzy operator and unitary operator are coined in this generalized fuzzy setting and their properties are discussed.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy set is defined as $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$, with a membership function $\mu_A(x) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\mu_A(x)$ denotes the degree of membership of the element x to the set A .

Definition 2.2. Let X be a non empty set and $F(X)$ be the set of all fuzzy sets in X . If $f \in F(X)$ then $f = \{(x, \mu)/x \in X \text{ and } \mu \in (0, 1]\}$. Clearly f is bounded function for $|f(x)| \leq 1$. Let K be the space of real numbers then $F(X)$ is a linear space over the field K where the addition and scalar multiplication are defined by $f + g = \{(x, \mu) + (y, \eta)\} = \{(x + y), (\mu, \eta)/(x, \mu) \in f \text{ and } (y, \eta) \in g\}$ and $kf = \{(kf, \mu)/(x, \mu) \in f\}$ where $k \in K$.

The linear space $F(X)$ is said to be normed space if for every $f \in F(X)$ there is associated a non-negative real number $\|f\|$ called the norm of f in such a way,

(i) $\|f\| = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.

For, $\|f\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{(x, \mu)/(x, \mu) \in f\} = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow x = 0, \mu \in (0, 1] \Leftrightarrow f = 0$

(ii) $\|kf\| = |k| \|f\|, k \in K$.

For

$$\begin{aligned} \|kf\| &= \{(k(x, \mu)/(x, \mu)f, k \in K\} \\ &= \{|k| \|x, \mu/(x, \mu) \in f\} = |k| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ for every $f, g \in F(X)$.

For,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f + g\| &= \|\|(x, \mu) + (y, \eta)\|/x, y \in X, \mu, \eta \in (0, 1]\} \\
 &= \|\|(x + y), (\mu \wedge \eta)\|/x, y \in X, \mu, \eta \in (0, 1]\} \\
 &\leq \|\|(x, \mu \wedge \eta)\| + \|(y, \mu \wedge \eta)\|/(x, \mu) \in f \text{ and } (y, \eta) \in g\} \\
 &= \|f\| + \|g\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $(F(X), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space.

Definition 2.3. A 2-fuzzy set on X is a fuzzy set on $F(X)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $F(X)$ be a linear space over the real field K . A fuzzy subset N of $F(X) \times F(X) \times R$, the set of real numbers is called a 2-fuzzy 2-norm on X (or fuzzy 2-norm on $F(X)$) if and only if,

$$(N_1) \text{ for all } t \in R \text{ with } t \leq 0, N(f_1, f_2, t) = 0.$$

(N_2) for all $t \in R$ with $t \leq 0$, $N(f_1, f_2, t) = 1$ if and only if f_1 and f_2 are linearly dependent.

$$(N_3) N(f_1, f_2, t) \text{ is invariant under any permutation of } f_1, f_2.$$

$$(N_4) \text{ for all } t \in R, \text{ with } t \leq 0, N(f_1, cf_2, t) = N(f_1, f_2, t/|c|) \text{ if } c \neq 0, c \in K \text{ (field).}$$

$$(N_5) \text{ for all } s, t \in R, N(f_1, f_2 + f_3, s + t) \geq \min\{N(f_1, f_2, s), N(f_1, f_3, t)\}.$$

$$(N_6) N(f_1, f_2, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is continuous.}$$

$$(N_7) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(f_1, f_2, t) = 1.$$

Then $(F(X), N)$ is a fuzzy 2-normed linear space or (X, N) is a 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear space.

Definition 2.5. A 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear space (X, N) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converge to some point in X .

Definition 2.6. Let $F(X)$ be a linear space over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.

Define a fuzzy subset μ as a mapping from $F(X) \times F(X) \times F(X) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_1 \in F(X)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions

(I₁) For $f, g, h \in F(X)$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\mu(f + g, h, f_1, |t| + |s|) \geq \min\{\mu(f, h, f_1, |t|), \mu(g, h, f_1, |s|)\}$$

(I₂) For $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu(f, g, h, |st|) \geq \min\{\mu(f, f, h, |s|^2), \mu(g, g, h, |t|^2)\}$.

(I₃) For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu(f, g, h, |t|) = \mu(g, f, h, |t|)$.

(I₄) For $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0$, $\mu(\alpha_1 f, \alpha_2 g, h, t) = \mu\left(f, g, h, \frac{t}{|\alpha_1 \alpha_2|}\right)$.

(I₅) $\mu(f, f, h, t) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{C}/R^+$

$\mu(f, f, h, t) = 1 \forall t > 0$ if and only if f, h are linearly dependent.

(I₆) $\mu(f, g, h, t)$ is invariant under any permutation.

(I₇) $\forall t > 0$, $\mu(f, f, h, t) = \mu(g, g, h, t)$

(I₈) $\mu(f, g, h, t)$ is monotonic non-decreasing function of $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f, g, h, t) = 1.$$

Then μ is said to be the 2-fuzzy 2-inner product on $F(X)$ and the pair (X, μ) is called 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space.

3. Adjoint Fuzzy Operator in 2-Fuzzy 2-Hilbert Space

Definition 3.1. Let (X, μ) be the 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space. A linear functional T defined on $F(X)$ is said to be continuous if f_n converges to f implies sequence $\{Tf_n\}$ converges to Tf , for any $\{f_n\}$, $f \in F(X)$. For a given $t > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ there exist a positive number $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$\mu(f_n - f, f_n - f, h, t) > 1 - r$$

For $h \in F(X)$ and for every $n \geq n_0$, where $0 < t' \leq 1$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

Then for a given $t' > 0$ and $0 < r' < 1$ there exist a positive number

$n_0 \in N$ such that

$$\mu(Tf_n - Tf, Tf_n - Tf, h, t') > 1 - r'$$

For $h \in F(X)$ and for every $n \geq n_0$, where $0 < t' \leq 1$ and $r' \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, μ) be a 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space and $\inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} < \infty$ for all $f, g \in F(X)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t + s : \mu(f + g, f_1, h, t + s) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &+ \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} + \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t + s : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \\ &\geq \alpha, \mu(f, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t + s : \inf\{\mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha, \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \\ &\geq \alpha\}\} \geq \inf\{t + s : \mu(f + g, f_1, h, t + s) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Conversely, for any $\delta > 0$

Assume that,

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \inf\left\{1 - \mu\left(f, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad \left(1 - \mu\left(g, f_1, h, \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right)\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\left(1 - \mu\left(-f, f_1, h, -\inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.\mu\left(-g, f_1, h, -\inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \mu(-f, -g, f_1, h, -\inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \\ &\geq \alpha\} + \delta) = \mu(f + g, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} + \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \\ &\geq \alpha\} - \delta) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of infimum $\mu\left(f, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) < \alpha$

$$\text{Hence } 1 - \mu\left(g, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) < 1 - \alpha$$

$$\text{Similarly } 1 - \mu\left(g, f_1, h, \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) < 1 - \alpha$$

$$\text{Therefore } \inf\left\{\left(1 - \mu(f, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\}) - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right\},$$

$$\left(1 - \mu\left(g, f_1, h, \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right) > 1 - \alpha$$

(i.e) $1 - k > 1 - \alpha$ which implies that $k < \alpha$

As a result

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(f + g, f_1, h, \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} + \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, s) \geq \alpha\} - \delta) \\ & \leq k < \alpha \text{ (i.e.) } \inf\{t + s : \mu(f + g, f_1, h, t + s) \geq \alpha\} \geq \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ & \quad + \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t + s : \mu(f + g, f_1, h, t + s) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &+ \inf\{s : \mu(g, f_1, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, μ) be a 2-fuzzy 2-Hilbert space and T be a continuous linear functional then there exists a unique T^* a continuous linear functional on $F(X)$ such that*

$$\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

for every $f, g, h \in F(X)$.

Proof. Choose $g \in F(X)$, define: $G_g : F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $G_g(f) = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f \in F(X)$ such that,

$$G_g(f + l) = G_g(f) + G_g(l)$$

$$G_g(kf) = kG_g(f)$$

for every $f, g, l \in F(X)$, k a scalar in $\mathbb{R}$.

Also, there exists $l_g \in F(X)$ such that

$$G_g(f) = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

Define $T^* : F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T_g^* = l_g$, for every $g \in F(X)$

Let $g, l \in F(X)$ and k, s are scalar, then

$$\inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*(kg + sl), h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, kg, sl, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

By the theorem (3.2) and (I_4)

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*(kg + sl), h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, kg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &\quad + \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, sl, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= k. \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = s. \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, l, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= k. \inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = s. \inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*l, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of T^* : Let T_1^*, T_2^* be two adjoint fuzzy operators for $T \in F(X)$,

$$\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, T_1^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

$$\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, T_2^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

for every $f, g \in F(X)$

It implies that,

$$\inf\{t : \mu(f, T_1^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, T_2^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

and hence T^* is unique.

Definition 3.4. Let (X, μ) be a 2-fuzzy 2-Hilbert space with $\inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f, g \in F(X)$ and let T be a continuous linear functional, then T is selfadjoint fuzzy operator, if

$$\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(T^*f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

Where T^* is adjoint fuzzy operator of T .

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, μ) be a 2-fuzzy 2-Hilbert space with $\inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ and let T be a continuous linear functional, then T is self-adjoint fuzzy operator.*

Proof. Since $F(X)$ is set of all fuzzy sets on X a non empty set and $\inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f, g \in F(X)$, then $\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ is real for all $f \in F(X)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{\bar{t} : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(T^*f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(T^*f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

T is a self-adjoint fuzzy operator.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, μ) be a 2-fuzzy 2-Hilbert space with $\inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f, g \in F(X)$ and let T^* be the adjoint fuzzy operator of T is a continuous linear functional then*

- (i) $\inf\{t : \mu(f, T^{**}g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$
- (ii) $\inf\{t : \mu(f, (kT)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, kT^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$
- (iii) $\inf\{t + s : \mu(f, (kT + sD)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t + s : \mu(f, (kT^* + sD^*)g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$
- (iv) $\inf\{t : \mu(f, (TD)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, (D^*T^*)g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad \inf \{t : \mu(f, T^{**}g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf \{t : \mu(T^*f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \inf \{t : \mu(f, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad \inf \{t : \mu(f, (kT)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf \{t : \mu(kTf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \inf \{t : \mu(kTf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ t : \mu \left(Tf, g, h, \frac{t}{|k|} \right) \geq \alpha \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ t : \mu \left(f, T^*g, h, \frac{t}{|k|} \right) \geq \alpha \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ t : \mu \left(f, kT^*g, h, \frac{t}{|k|} \right) \geq \alpha \right\} \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad \inf \{t+s : \mu(f, (kT+sD)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf \{t+s : \mu(f(kT+sD), g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &\geq \inf \{t+s : \inf \{ \mu(kTf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha, \mu(sDf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha \} \\
 &= \inf \{t+s : \inf \{ \mu(kf, T^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha, \mu(sf, D^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha \} \} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ t+s : \inf \left\{ \mu \left(kf, T^*g, h, \frac{t}{|k|} \right) \geq \alpha, \mu \left(sf, D^*g, h, \frac{t}{|s|} \right) \geq \alpha \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \inf \{t+s : \inf \{ \mu(f, kT^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha, \mu(f, sD^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha \} \} \\
 &= \inf \{t+s : \inf \{ \mu(f(kT^* + sD^*)g, h, t) \geq \alpha \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeating as in theorem (3.2), the above equality is proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad \inf \{t : \mu(f, (TD)^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf \{t : \mu(fTD, g, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \inf \{t : \mu(Df, T^*g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\
 &= \inf \{t : \mu(f, (D^*T^*)g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Normal Fuzzy Operator in 2-Fuzzy 2-Inner Product Space

Definition 4.1. Let (X, μ) be the 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space. An operator N is said to be normal fuzzy operator if it commutes with its adjoint (i.e.) $\inf\{t : \mu(NN^*f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(N^*Nf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f, g, h \in F(X)$.

Theorem 4.2. If N is a normal fuzzy operator and self-adjoint fuzzy operator on $F(X)$ then

$$\inf\{t : \mu(N^*Nf, f, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t^2 : \mu(Nf, Nf, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\}$$

Proof. If N is a normal fuzzy operator then,

$$\inf\{t : \mu(N^*Nf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(NN^*f, g, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} \quad (3)$$

Taking $g = f$, (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(N^*Nf, f, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(NN^*f, f, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(Nf, Nf, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.3. Let (X, μ) be the 2-fuzzy 2-inner product space. An operator T is said to be unitary fuzzy operator if

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(T^*Tf, f, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(TT^*f, f, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. If T is a fuzzy operator on a 2-fuzzy 2-Hilbert space (X, μ) then the following conditions are equivalent to one another

- (i) $\inf\{t : \mu(T^*Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$
- (ii) $\inf\{t : \mu(Tf, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f, g, h \in F(X)$
- (iii) $\inf\{t^2 : \mu(Tf, Tg, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t^2 : \mu(f, g, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\}$ for every $f \in F(X)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

$$\text{Given } \inf\{t : \mu(T^*Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, T^*Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)

$$\text{Given } \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \quad (4)$$

By taking $g = f$, (4) becomes

$$\inf\{t^2 : \mu(Tf, Tf, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t^2 : \mu(f, f, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\}$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)

$$\text{Given } \inf\{t^2 : \mu(Tf, Tf, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\} = \inf\{t^2 : \mu(f, f, h, t^2) \geq \alpha\}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{t : \mu(T^*Tf, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} &= \inf\{t : \mu(Tf, Tg, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{t : \mu(f, g, h, t) \geq \alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] T. Bag and S. K. Samantha, Finite Dimensional Fuzzy Normed Linear Space, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 11(3) (2003), 687-705.
- [2] S. C. Cheng and J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy Linear Operators and Fuzzy Normed Linear Space, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 86 (1994), 429-436.
- [3] C. Dimminie, S. Gahler and A. White, 2-Inner Product Spaces, Demonstration Math. 6 (1973), 525-536; Available online at <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-1977-0115>.
- [4] A. M. El-Abyad and H. M. El-Hamouly, Fuzzy Inner Product Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 44(2) (1991), 309-326, Available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(91\)90014-h](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(91)90014-h).
- [5] C. Felbin, Finite Dimensional Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (1992), 239-248, Available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(92\)90338-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(92)90338-5).
- [6] A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy Topological Vector Space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12(2) (1984), 143-154, Available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(81\)90082-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(81)90082-8).

- [7] J. K. Kohli and R. Kumar, On Fuzzy Inner Product Spaces and Fuzzy Co-inner Product Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 53(2) (1993), 227-232, Available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(93\)90177-j](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(93)90177-j).
- [8] J. K. Kohli and R. Kumar, Linear Mapping, Fuzzy Linear Spaces, Fuzzy Inner Product and Fuzzy Co-inner Product Spaces, *Bull. Calcutta. Math. Soc* 87(3) (1995), 237-246.
- [9] S. V. Krishna and K. K. M. Sharma, Separation of Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 63(2) (1994), 207-217, Available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90351-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90351-4).
- [10] R.M. Somasundaram and Thangaraj Beaula, Some Aspects of 2-Fuzzy 2-Normed Linear Spaces, *Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.* 32(2) (2009), 211-222.
- [11] Srinivasan Vijayabalaji and Natesan Thillaigovindan, Fuzzy n-Inner Product Space, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 43(3) (2007), 447-459, Available online at <https://doi.org/10.4134/bkms.2007.44.3.447>.
- [12] Thangaraj Beaula and R. A. S. Gifty, On Complete 2-Fuzzy Dual Normed Linear Spaces, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology* 4(2) (2013), 34-42, Available online at <https://doi.org/10.20454/jast.2013.589>.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control* 98 (1965), 338-353, Available online at <https://doi.org/10.21236/ad0608981>.