

SOME FIXED-POINT RESULTS IN S_b-METRIC SPACES

D. VENKATESH and V. NAGA RAJU

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics Osmania University, Hyderabad Telangana-500007, India E-mail: viswanag2007@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we establish some fixed point and common fixed-point theorems in S_b -metric spaces using implicit relation. The results presented in this paper extend and generalize several results from the existing literature.

1. Introduction

In 1906, Maurice Fréchet [4] introduced the concept of metric spaces. Later, in the year 1922, Stefan Banach [2] proved a very famous theorem called "Banach Fixed Point Theorem". In 2006, Z. Mustafa and B. Sims [5] introduced G-metric spaces. In 2012, Sedghi, Shobe and Aliouche [11] introduced S-metric spaces and they claimed that S-metric spaces are the generalization of G-metric spaces. But, later Dung, Hieu and Radojevic [3] have given examples that S-metric spaces are not the generalization of G-metric spaces. Therefore, the collection of G-metric spaces and S-metric spaces are different. In 1989, I. A. Bakhtin [1] introduced b-metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. In 2016, N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki [12] introduced S_b -metric spaces as the generalizations of b-metric spaces and S-metric spaces. But, very recently Tas and Ozur [6] studied some relations between S_b -metric spaces and some other metric spaces. S. Sedghi and N. V. Dung [9] introduced an implicit relation to investigate some fixed-

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Fixed point, Common fixed point, implicit relation, S_b -metric space.

^{*}Corresponding author; E-mail: venkat409151@gmail.com

Received September 15, 2022; Revised December 18, 2022; Accepted December 19, 2022

point theorems on S-metric spaces. In 2015, Prudhvi [7] proved some fixedpoint theorems on S-metric spaces, which extends the results of Sedgi and Dung [9].

Inspired by G. S. Saluja [8], Prudhvi [7], S. Sedghi, N. V. Dung [9] and some others, we establish some fixed point and common fixed-point theorems in S_b -metric spaces satisfying an implicit relation.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[11]. Let Ω be a nonempty set. An S-metric on Ω is a function $S: \Omega^3 \to [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions, for each $\zeta, \vartheta, w, a \in \Omega$,

- (S1) $S(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) > 0$ for all $\varsigma, \vartheta, w \in \Omega$ with $\varsigma \neq \vartheta \neq w$.
- (S2) $S(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = 0$ if $\varsigma = \vartheta = w$.
- (S3) $S(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) \leq [S(\varsigma, \varsigma, a) + S(\vartheta, \vartheta, a) + S(w, w, a)].$

The pair (Ω, S) is called S-metric space.

Example 2.1[3]. Let $\Omega = R$, the set of all real numbers and let $S(\zeta, \vartheta, w) = |\vartheta + w - 2\zeta| + |\vartheta - w| \quad \forall \zeta, \vartheta, w \in \Omega$. Then (Ω, S) is an S-metric space.

Definition 2.2[1]. Let Ω be a nonempty set. A *b*-metric on Ω is a function $d: \Omega^2 \to [0, \infty)$ if there exists a real number $s \ge 1$ such that the following conditions holds for all $\varsigma, \vartheta \in \Omega$

- (i) $d(\varsigma, \vartheta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varsigma = \vartheta$.
- (ii) $d(\varsigma, \vartheta) = d(\vartheta, \varsigma)$
- (iii) $d(\varsigma, \vartheta) \le s[d(\varsigma, w) + d(w, \vartheta)]$

The pair (Ω, d) is called a *b*-metric space.

Definition 2.3[12]. Let Ω be a nonempty set and let $s \ge 1$ be a given number.

A function $S_b: \Omega^3 \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be S_b -metric if and only if for all $\forall \zeta, \vartheta, w, a \in \Omega$, the following conditions hold:

- (i) $S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = 0$ if $\varsigma = \vartheta = w$.
- (ii) $S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) \le s[S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, a) + S_b(\vartheta, \vartheta, a) + S_b(w, w, a)],$

The pair (Ω, S_b) is called an S_b -metric space.

Remark 2.1. We note that every S-metric space is an S_b -metric space with s = 1, but the converse statement is not true.

Example 2.2[6]. Let $\Omega = R$, the set of all real numbers and let $S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = \frac{1}{16} (|\varsigma - \vartheta| + |\vartheta - w| + |\varsigma - w|)^2$, for all $\varsigma, \vartheta, w \in \Omega$.

Then (Ω, S_b) is an S_b -metric space with s = 4, but it is not an S-metric space. Indeed, for $\zeta = 4$, $\vartheta = 6$, w = 8 and a = 5, we get

$$S_b(4, 6, 8) = 4 > S_b(4, 4, 5) + S_b(6, 6, 5) + S_b(8, 8, 5).$$

Thus, S_b -metric spaces are more general than S-metric spaces.

Definition 2.4[6]. A S_b -metric S_b is said to be symmetric if

$$S_b(\zeta, \zeta, \vartheta) = S_b(\vartheta, \vartheta, \zeta) \ \forall \zeta, \vartheta \in \Omega.$$

Lemma 2.1[10]. In S_b -metric space, we have

- (i) $S_b(\zeta, \zeta, \vartheta) \leq sS_b(\vartheta, \vartheta, \zeta)$ and $S_b(\vartheta, \vartheta, \zeta) \leq sS_b(\zeta, \zeta, \vartheta)$
- (ii) $S_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, w) \le 2sS_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \vartheta) + s^2S_b(\vartheta, \vartheta, w).$

Definition 2.5[12]. If (Ω, S_b) is an S_b -metric space and a sequence $\{\varsigma_n\}$ in Ω . Then

(i) $\{\zeta_n\}$ is called a S_b -Cauchy sequence, if to each $\epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in N$ such that $S_b(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_m) \leq \epsilon, \forall n, m > n_0$.

(ii) $\{\zeta_n\} \to \zeta \Leftrightarrow$ to each $\epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in N$ such that $S_b(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta) < \epsilon$ and $S_b(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta_n) < \epsilon \forall n \ge n_0$, and we write as $\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$.

Definition 2.6[12]. We say that (Ω, S_b) is complete if every S_b -Cauchy sequence is S_b -Convergent in Ω .

Tas and Ozgur [6] proved the following theorems in S_b -metric spaces.

Theorem 2.1[6]. If (Ω, S_b) is a complete S_b -metric space with $s \ge 1$ and T is a self map on Ω satisfying

$$S_b(T\varsigma, T\varsigma, T\vartheta) \leq cS_b(\varsigma, \varsigma, \vartheta), \, \forall \, \varsigma, \, \vartheta \in \Omega, \, where \, \, 0 < c < \frac{1}{s^2}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point ς in Ω .

Example 2.3[10]. Let (Ω, S) be a S-metric space and $S_*(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = [S(\varsigma, \vartheta, w)]^q$, where q > 1 is a real number.

Note that S_* is a S_b -metric with $s = 2^{2(q-1)}$. Obvisously, S_* satisfies conditions

- (i) $0 < S_*(\varsigma, \vartheta, w)$, for all $\varsigma, \vartheta, w \in \Omega$ with $\varsigma \neq \vartheta \neq w$.
- (ii) $S_*(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = 0$ if $\varsigma = \vartheta = w$.

If $1 < q < \infty$, then the convexity of the function $f(\varsigma) = \varsigma^q$, $(\varsigma > 0)$ implies that $(a+b)^q \le 2^{q-1}(a^q + b^q)$.

Thus, for each ζ , ϑ , w, $a \in \Omega$, we obtain,

$$\begin{split} S_*(\varsigma, \, \vartheta, \, w) &= S(\varsigma, \, \vartheta, \, w)^q \\ &\leq ([S(\varsigma, \, \varsigma, \, a) + S(\vartheta, \, \vartheta, \, a)] + S(w, \, w, \, a))^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1}([S(\varsigma, \, \varsigma, \, a) + S(\vartheta, \, \vartheta, \, a)]^q + S(w, \, w, \, a)^q) \\ &\leq 2^{2-1}([2^{q-1}(S(\varsigma, \, \varsigma, \, a)^q + S(\vartheta, \, \vartheta, \, a)^q)] + 2^{q-1}S(w, \, w, \, a)^q) \\ &\leq 2^{2(q-1)}(S(\varsigma, \, \varsigma, \, a)^q + S(\vartheta, \, \vartheta, \, a)^q + S(w, \, w, \, a)^q) \\ &\leq 2^{2(q-1)}(S_*(\varsigma, \, \varsigma, \, a) + S_*(\vartheta, \, \vartheta, \, a) + S_*(w, \, w, \, a)). \end{split}$$

So, S_* is a S_b -metric with $s = 2^{2(q-1)}$.

Now, we introduce an implicit relation to prove some fixed point and common fixed-point theorems in S_b -metric spaces.

Definition 2.7 (Implicit Relation). Let Ψ be the family of all real valued continuous functions $\psi: R_+^5 \to R_+$ non-decreasing in the first argument for five variables. For some $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right]$, where $s \ge 1$, we consider the following conditions.

- (R1) For ζ , $\vartheta \in R_+$, if $\zeta \leq \psi(\vartheta, s\zeta, s\vartheta, s\zeta, \zeta + s\vartheta)$ then $\zeta \leq q\vartheta$.
- (R2) For ζ , $\vartheta \in R_+$, if $\zeta \leq \psi(0, 0, \zeta, 0, 0)$ then $\zeta = 0$.

(R3) For
$$\zeta \in R_+$$
, if $\zeta \le \psi\left(\zeta, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)$ then $\zeta = 0$

3. Main Results

In this section, we shall prove some fixed point and common fixed-point theorems satisfying an implicit relation in S_b -metric spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let T be a self map on a complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) with $s \ge 1$ and

 $S_{b}(T\varsigma, T\vartheta, Tw) \leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma, \vartheta, w), S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T\varsigma), S_{b}(w, w, Tw), S_{b}(\varsigma, \varsigma, T\vartheta),$ $\frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T\vartheta) + S_{b}(w, w, T\varsigma)]$ (1)

for all ς , ϑ , $w \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$. If ψ satisfies the conditions (R1), (R2) and (R3), then T has a unique fixed point in Ω .

Proof. Let $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$ be arbitrary and define a sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ in Ω such that $\zeta_{n+1} = T\zeta_n$, for any $n \in N$. If for some $n \in N$, $\zeta_{n+1} = \zeta_n$. Then, $\zeta_n = T\zeta_n$. Hence, *T* has a fixed point. Now, we may assume that $\zeta_{n+1} \neq \zeta_n$, for all $n \in N$. It follows from inequality (1) and Lemma 2.1, we consider

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) &= S_{b}(T\varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n-1}, T\varsigma_{n-1}), \\ S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}), \frac{1}{2s} \left[S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}) + S_{b}(\varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n-1}, T\varsigma_{n-1}) \right] \right) \\ &= \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n}), \\ S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s} \left[S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}) S_{b}(\varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n-1}, \varsigma_{n}) \right] \right) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), \\ sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}), \frac{1}{2s} \left[sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) \right] \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), \\ sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) + 2s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}) \right] \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) + 2s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}) \right] \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) + sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}) \right] \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n}) + sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n-1}) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R1), there exists $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right)$ such that

$$S_b(\varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_{n+1}, \varsigma_n) \le q S_b(\varsigma_n, \varsigma_n, \varsigma_{n-1}) \le q^n S_b(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_0)$$
(3)

For $n, m \in N$ with n < m, using Lemma 2.1 and equation (3), we have

$$\begin{split} S_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_m) &\leq 2sS_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^2S_b(\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_m) \\ &\leq 2sS_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^2[2S_b(\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+2}) + s^2S_b(\varsigma_{n+2}, \,\varsigma_{n+2}, \,\varsigma_m)] \\ &\leq 2aq^n[1 + s^2q + (s^2q)^2 + \ldots]S_b(\varsigma_0, \,\varsigma_0, \,\varsigma_1) \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{2sq^n}{1-s^2q}\right) S_b(\varsigma_0, \, \varsigma_0, \, \varsigma_1)$$

Since $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right]$ and $s \ge 1$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get

 $S_b(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_m) \to 0$. This proves that the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in the complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) . Then, there exists $\rho \in \Omega$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n = \rho$. Now we prove that ρ is a fixed point of *T*. Again by using inequality (1), we obtain

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\rho) = S_{b}(T\varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}, T\rho)$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \rho), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, T\varsigma_{n}) + S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\varsigma_{n})])$$

$$= \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \rho), S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n}, \varsigma_{n+1}) + S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \varsigma_{n+1})])$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho) &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho), \\ S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho) + S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho)]) \\ \text{that is, } S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho) &\leq \psi(0, 0, S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho), 0, 0) \end{split}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R2), then we get

$$S_b(\rho, \rho, T\rho) \le qS_b(\rho, \rho, T\rho)$$

that is, $(1-q)S_b(\rho, \rho, T\rho) \le 0$.

Since $0 \le q \le \frac{1}{s^2}$. Therefore we get $S_b(\rho, \rho, T\rho) = 0$. Hence $T\rho = \rho$.

Thus, ρ is a fixed point of *T*. Now, we show that fixed point of *T* is unique.

For this, let ρ^* be another fixed point of *T*. It follows from inequality (1) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho^{*}) = S_{b}(T\rho, T\rho, \rho^{*})$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho^{*}), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho), S_{b}(\rho^{*}, \rho^{*}, T\rho^{*}),$$

$$S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\rho, \rho, T\rho) + S_{b}(\rho^{*}, \rho^{*}, T\rho)])$$

$$= \psi(S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho^{*}), S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho), S_{b}(\rho^{*}, \rho^{*}, \rho^{*}),$$

$$S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho) + S_{b}(\rho^{*}, \rho^{*}, \rho)])$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho^{*}), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}S_{b}(\rho, \rho, \rho^{*}))$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R3), then we get

$$S_b(\rho, \rho, \rho^*) \le q S_b(\rho, \rho, \rho^*)$$

that is, $(1-q)S_b(\rho, \rho, \rho^*) \le 0.$

Since $0 \le q \le \frac{1}{s^2}$. Therefore we get $S_b(\rho, \rho, \rho^*) = 0$. Hence $\rho = \rho^*$. Thus the fixed point of *T* is unique.

Theorem 3.2. Let T_1 and T_2 be two selfmaps on a complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) with $s \ge 1$ and

$$S_{b}(T_{1}\varsigma, T_{1}\vartheta, T_{2}w) \leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma, \vartheta, w), S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T_{1}\varsigma), S_{b}(w, w, T_{2}w),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma, \varsigma, T_{1}\vartheta), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T_{1}\vartheta) + S_{b}(w, w, T_{1}\varsigma)])$$
(4)

for all ς , ϑ , $w \in \Omega$ and $\psi \in \Psi$. If ψ satisfies the conditions (R1), (R2) and (R3), then T_1 and T_2 have a unique fixed point in Ω .

Proof. Let $\zeta_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and a sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ in X defined by $\zeta_{2n+1} = T_1 \zeta_{2n}$ and $\zeta_{2n+2} = T_2 \zeta_{2n+1}$, for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

It follows from inequality (4) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) = S_{b}(T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}, T_{2}\varsigma_{2n-1})$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, T_{2}\varsigma_{2n-1}),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}) + S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n})])$$

$$= \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n}),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}) + S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n+1})])$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}),$$

$$sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n}), \frac{1}{2s} [sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n})]$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}),$$

$$\frac{1}{2s} [2sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) + 2s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1})]]$$
(5)

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R1), there exists $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right)$ such that

$$S_b(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) \le q S_b(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}) \le q^{2n} S_b(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_0)$$
(6)

For $n, m \in N$ with n < m, by using Lemma 2.1 and equation (6), we have

$$\begin{split} S_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_m) &\leq 2sS_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^2S_b(\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_m) \\ &\leq 2sS_b(\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_n, \,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^2[2S_b(\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+1}, \,\varsigma_{n+2}) + s^2S_b(\varsigma_{n+2}, \,\varsigma_{n+2}, \,\varsigma_m)] \\ &\leq 2sq^n[1 + s^2q + (s^2q)^2 + \ldots]S_b(\varsigma_0, \,\varsigma_0, \,\varsigma_1) \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{2sq^n}{1-s^2q}\right)S_b(\varsigma_0, \varsigma_0, \varsigma_1).$$

Since $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right]$ and $s \ge 1$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get

 $S_b(\varsigma_n, \varsigma_n, \varsigma_m) \to 0$. This proves that the sequence $\{\varsigma_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in the complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) . Then, there exists $\sigma \in \Omega$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n = \sigma$. Now we prove that σ is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

For this Consider,

~ /

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, T_{1}\sigma) = S_{b}(T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\sigma)$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \sigma), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}), S_{b}(\sigma, \sigma, T_{1}\sigma),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n}) + S_{b}(\sigma, \sigma, T_{1}\varsigma_{2n})])$$

$$\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \sigma), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}), S_{b}(\sigma, \sigma, T_{1}\sigma),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}) + S_{b}(\sigma, \sigma, \varsigma_{2n+1})])$$
(7)

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\begin{split} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1 \sigma) &\leq \psi(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1 \sigma), \\ S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), \frac{1}{2s} [S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma) + S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma)]) \\ \text{that is, } S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1 \sigma) &\leq \psi(0, 0, S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1 \sigma), 0, 0) \end{split}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R2), then we get

$$\begin{split} S_b(\sigma,\,\sigma,\,T_1\sigma) &\leq q S_b(\sigma,\,\sigma,\,T_1\sigma) \\ \text{that is, } (1-q) S_b(\sigma,\,\sigma,\,T_1\sigma) &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Since $0 \le q \le \frac{1}{s^2}$. Therefore we get $S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1\sigma) = 0$. Hence $T_1\sigma = \sigma$.

Similarly, we can show that $T_2\sigma = \sigma$. This shows that σ is a common

fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Now we prove that T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point. For this, let σ^* be another common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . It follows from equation (4) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*) &= S_b(T_1\sigma, T_1\sigma, T_2\sigma^*) \\ &\leq \psi(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*), S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1\sigma), S_b(\sigma^*, \sigma^*, T_2\sigma^*), \\ S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1\sigma), \frac{1}{2s} [S_b(\sigma, \sigma, T_1\sigma) + S_b(\sigma^*, \sigma^*, T_2\sigma)]) \\ &= \psi(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*), S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), S_b(\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \sigma^*) \\ S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), \frac{1}{2s} [S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma) + S_b(\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \sigma)]) \\ &= \psi \Big(S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*)\Big). \end{split}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R3), then we get

$$S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*) \le q S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*)$$

that is, $(1-q)S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*)$

Since $1 \le q \le \frac{1}{s^2}$. Therefore we get $S_b(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma^*) = 0$. Hence $\sigma = \sigma^*$. This shows that σ is the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

Theorem 3.3. Let T_1 and T_2 be two continuous selfmaps on a complete Sbmetric space (Ω, S_b) with $s \ge 1$ and

$$S_{b}(T_{1}^{p}\varsigma, T_{1}^{p}\vartheta, T_{2}^{p}w) \leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma, \vartheta, w), S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T_{1}^{p}\varsigma), S_{b}(w, w, T_{2}^{p}w),$$
$$S_{b}(\varsigma, \varsigma, T_{1}^{p}\vartheta), \frac{1}{2s}[S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, T_{1}^{p}\vartheta) + S_{b}(w, w, T_{1}^{p}\varsigma)])$$
(8)

for all ζ , ϑ , $w \in \Omega$, where p and q are integers and $\psi \in \Psi$. If ψ satisfies the conditions (R1), (R2) and (R3), then T_1 and T_2 have a unique fixed point in Ω .

Proof. Since T_1^p and T_2^p satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2. Let λ be the common fixed point.

Then, we have
$$T_1^p \lambda = \lambda \Rightarrow T_1(T_1^p \lambda) = T_1 \lambda \Rightarrow T_1^p(T_1 \lambda) = T_1 \lambda$$
.
If $T_1 \lambda = \lambda_0$, then $T_1^p \lambda_0 = \lambda_0$. So, $T_1 \lambda$ is a fixed point of T_1^p .

Similarly, $T_2(T_2^q\lambda) = T_2^q(T_2\lambda) = T_2\lambda$. Now, using equation (8) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}\lambda) &= S_{b}(T_{1}^{p}\lambda, T_{1}^{p}\lambda, T_{1}^{p}(T_{1}\lambda)) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}\lambda), S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}^{p}\lambda), S_{b}(T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}^{p}(T_{1}\lambda)), \\ S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}^{p}\lambda), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}^{p}\lambda) + S_{b}(T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}^{p}\lambda)]) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}\lambda), S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda), S_{b}(T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}\lambda), \\ S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) + S_{b}(T_{1}\lambda, T_{1}\lambda, \lambda)]) \\ &\leq \psi \Big(S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}\lambda), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} [S_{b}(\lambda, \lambda, T_{1}\lambda)]\Big). \end{split}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R3), then we get

$$S_b(\lambda, \lambda, T_1\lambda) \le kS_b(\lambda, \lambda, T_1\lambda)$$

that is, $(1-k)S_b(\lambda, \lambda, T_1\lambda) \le 0$.

Since $0 \le k \le \frac{1}{s^2}$ and $s \ge 1$. Therefore we get $S_b(\lambda, \lambda, T_1\lambda) = 0$. Hence $T_1\lambda = \lambda$. Similarly, we can show that $T_2\lambda = \lambda$. This shows that λ is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . For uniqueness of λ , Let $\lambda^* \ne \lambda$ be another common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Then clearly λ^* is also a common fixed point of T_1^p and T_2^q , which implies $\lambda = \lambda^*$. Hence T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\{G_{\alpha}\}$ be a family of continuous selfmaps on a complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) with $s \ge 1$ and

$$S_{b}(G_{\alpha}\varsigma, G_{\alpha}\vartheta, G_{\beta}w) \leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma, \vartheta, w), S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, G_{\alpha}\varsigma), S_{b}(w, w, G_{\beta}w),$$

$$S_{b}(\varsigma, \varsigma, G_{\alpha}\vartheta), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\vartheta, \vartheta, G_{\alpha}\vartheta) + S_{b}(w, w, G_{\alpha}\varsigma)])$$
(9)

for all ς , ϑ , $w \in \Omega$, and α , $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then there exists a unique $\eta \in \Omega$ satisfying $G_{\alpha}\eta = \eta$, for all $\alpha \in \Psi$.

Proof. Let $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$ be arbitrary and a sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ in Ω defined by $\zeta_{2n+1} = G_{\alpha}\zeta_{2n}$ and $\zeta_{2n+2} = G_{\beta}\zeta_{2n+1}$, for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

It follows from inequality (9) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) &= S_{b}(G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n}, G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n-1}, G_{\beta}\varsigma_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, G_{\beta}\varsigma_{2n-1}) \\ S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n}), \frac{1}{2s} \left[S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n}) + S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, G_{\alpha}\varsigma_{2n}) \right] \right] \\ &= \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}), S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n}), \\ S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2s} \left[S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n+1}) + S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}) \right] \right] \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), \\ &\qquad sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) \\ &+ 2sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}, \varsigma_{2n}) + sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) \right] \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), \\ sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n-1}), sS_{b}(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) + 2s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}) \right] (10) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R1), there exists $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right)$ such

that

$$S_b(\varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n+1}, \varsigma_{2n}) \le q S_b(\varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n}, \varsigma_{2n-1}) \le q^{2n} S_b(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1, \varsigma_0)$$
(11)

For $n, m \in N$ with n < m, by using Lemma 2.1 and equation (11), we have

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{m}) &\leq 2sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1},\,\varsigma_{n+1},\,\varsigma_{m}) \\ &\leq 2sS_{b}(\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{n},\,\varsigma_{n+1}) + s^{2}[2S_{b}(\varsigma_{n+1},\,\varsigma_{n+1},\,\varsigma_{n+2}) + s^{2}S_{b}(\varsigma_{n+2},\,\varsigma_{n+2},\,\varsigma_{m})] \\ &\leq 2sq^{n}[1 + s^{2}q + (s^{2}q)^{2} + \ldots]S_{b}(\varsigma_{0},\,\varsigma_{0},\,\varsigma_{1}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2sq^{n}}{1 - s^{2}q}\right)S_{b}(\varsigma_{0},\,\varsigma_{0},\,\varsigma_{1}). \end{split}$$

Since $q \in \left[0, \frac{1}{s^2}\right]$ and $s \ge 1$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get $S_b(\varsigma_n, \varsigma_n, \varsigma_m) \to 0$. This proves that the sequence $\{\varsigma_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in the complete S_b -metric space (Ω, S_b) . Then, there exists $\eta \in \Omega$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varsigma_n = \eta$. By the continuity of G_α and G_β , it is clear that $G_\alpha \eta = G_\beta \eta = \eta$. Therefore η is a common fixed point of G_α and G_β , for all $\alpha \in \Psi$. In order to prove the uniqueness, let us take another common fixed point η^* of G_α and G_β , where $\eta \neq \eta^*$. Then using equation (9) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta^{*}) &= S_{b}(G_{\alpha}\eta, G_{\alpha}\eta, G_{\beta}\eta^{*}) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta^{*}), S_{b}(\eta, \eta, G_{\alpha}\eta), S_{b}(\eta^{*}, \eta^{*}, G_{\beta}\eta^{*}), \\ S_{b}(\eta, \eta, G_{\alpha}\eta), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\eta, \eta, G_{\alpha}\eta) + S_{b}(\eta^{*}, \eta^{*}, G_{\alpha}\eta)]) \\ &\leq \psi(S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta^{*}), S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta), S_{b}(\eta^{*}, \eta^{*}, \eta^{*}), \\ S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta), \frac{1}{2s} [S_{b}(\eta, \eta, \eta) + S_{b}(\eta^{*}, \eta^{*}, \eta)]) \end{split}$$

897

$$\leq \psi \bigg(S_b(\eta, \eta, \eta^*), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} S_b(\eta, \eta, \eta^*) \bigg).$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ satisfies the condition (R3), then we get

$$\begin{split} S_b(\eta,\,\eta,\,\eta^*) &\leq q S_b(\eta,\,\eta,\,\eta^*) \\ \text{that is, } (1-q) S_b(\eta,\,\eta,\,\eta^*) &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Since $0 \le q \le \frac{1}{s^2}$. Therefore we get $S_b(\eta, \eta, \eta^*) = 0$. Hence $\eta = \eta^*$. This shows that η is the unique common fixed point of G_{α} , for all $\alpha \in \Psi$.

Corollary 3.1. Let (Ω, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Suppose that the mapping $T: \Omega \to \Omega$ satisfies $S_b(T\zeta, T\vartheta, Tw) \leq \gamma S_b(\zeta, \vartheta, w)$ for all $\zeta, \vartheta, w \in \Omega$, where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then T has a unique fixed point in Ω . Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

Proof. We can prove easily by using Theorem 3.1. with $\psi(a, b, c, d, e) = \gamma a$, for some $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Corollary 3.2. Let (Ω, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Suppose that the mappings $T_1, T_2 : \Omega \to \Omega$ satisfies $S_b(T_1\varsigma, T_1\vartheta, T_2, w) \leq \delta S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w)$ for all $\varsigma, \vartheta, \omega \in \Omega$, where $\delta \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique fixed point in Ω .

Proof. We can prove easily by using Theorem 3.2. with $\psi(a, b, c, d, e) = \delta a$, for some $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Example 3.1. Let (Ω, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with s = 4. Where $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and $S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w) = (|\varsigma - w| + |\vartheta - w|)^2$.

Now, we consider the mapping $T: \Omega \to \Omega$ defined by $T(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{5}$, for all $\zeta \in [0, 1]$. Then $S_b(T\zeta, T\vartheta, Tw) = (|T\zeta - Tw| + |T\vartheta - Tw|)^2$

$$= \left(\left| \frac{\varsigma}{5} - \frac{w}{5} \right| + \left| \frac{\vartheta}{5} - \frac{w}{5} \right| \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{25} (|\varsigma - w| + |\vartheta - w|)^2$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{25} S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w)$$
$$= \gamma S_b(\varsigma, \vartheta, w).$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{25} < 1$. Thus *T* satisfies all the conditions of corollary 3.1. and clearly $0 \in \Omega$ is the unique fixed point of *T*.

4. Conclusion

From this results, we can study the fixed-circle problem [13] using new contrations on different generalized metric spaces.

5. Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to experts for their appropriate and constructive suggestions to improve this paper.

References

- I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in Quasi-metric spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [3] N. V. Dung, N. T. Hieu and S. Radojevic, Fixed-point theorems for *g*-monotone maps on partially ordered *S*-metric space, Filomat 28(9) (2014), 1885-1898.
- [4] M. M. Frechet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 22(2) (1906), 1-72.
- [5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinearb and Convex Anal. 7(2) (2006), 289-297.
- [6] Nihal Tas and Nihal Yilimaz Ozur, New generalized fixed prints results on S_b-Metric Spaces, Konuralp J. Math. 9(1) (2021), 24-32. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1703.01868.
- [7] K. Prudhvi, Fixed-point theorems in S-metric spaces, Universal Journal of Computational Mathematics 3(2) (2015), 19-21.
- [8] G. S. Saluja, Some fixed-point theorems under implicit relation on S-metric spaces, Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. 11(2) (2015), 327-340.

- [9] S. Sedghi and N. V. Dung, Fixed-point theorems on S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 66(1) (2014), 113-124.
- [10] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra 5(2) (2016), 93-104.
- [11] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed-point theorem in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 64(3) (2012), 258-266.
- [12] N. Souayah and N. Mlaiki, A fixed-point theorem in S_b-metric spaces, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science 16 (2016), 131-139.
- [13] Ufuk Celik and Nihal Ozgur, On the fixed-circle problem, Facta Universitatis (NIS) Ser. Math. Inform. 35(5) (2020) 1273-1290. https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI2005273C