

# LOCAL FINITENESS AND ITS PROPERTIES IN FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

## SOMASHEKHAR C. DESAI

Department of Science and Humanities BLDEA's VPDr.PGH College of Engineering and Technology Vijayapur-586103, Karnataka, India E-mail: math.scdesai@bldeacet.ac.in

#### Abstract

The concept point-finite, locally finite and discrete collections of sets in general topology play a very important role, particularly in the study of paracompact spaces, weaker and stronger forms of paracompact spaces etc.  $\alpha$ -local finite,  $\alpha$ -point finite and  $\alpha$ -discrete finiteness of fuzzy topological space are introduced and some important results have been proved.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of local finiteness, paracompactness and related concepts in fuzzy topological space (fts) was initiated by S. R. Malghan and S. S. Benchalli [7] in and among other results, it was shown that a locally finite family of fuzzy sets is closer preserving. Further, paracompactness in fts was also introduced and studied.

Local finiteness in fts was also studied by J. G. Jiang [3] in, S. L. Pu [7] in Mao-Kang Luo [6] in, A. Bulbul and M. W. Wareen [6] in also local finiteness in fts which is based on the idea of quasi coincident introduced in [8]. All these concepts have some limitations.

T. E. Gantner, R. C. Steinlage and R. H. Warren [9] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -compacteness and S. R. Malghan and S. S. Benchalli [8] introduced  $\alpha$ -perfect map and proved that composition of two  $\alpha$ -perfect maps is  $\alpha$ -perfect map.

Keywords: symmetric key cryptosystem, key generation, encryption, decryption, graph. Received February 21, 2020; Accepted July 24, 2020

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

### SOMASHEKHAR C. DESAI

In this paper the concept of  $\alpha$ -local finiteness of a family of fuzzy sets has been introduced as a natural generalization of local finiteness in general topology. The concept of  $\alpha$ -point finite and  $\alpha$ -discrete families of fuzzy sets have also been introduced and studied. It is proved that every  $\alpha$ -discrete family of fuzzy stets is  $\alpha$ -locally finite and that every  $\alpha$ -locally finite family is  $\alpha$ -point finite. Further with corollary it is proved that every  $\alpha$ -locally finite family of fuzzy sets is closure preserving and that arbitrary union of a  $\alpha$ locally finite family of closed fuzzy sets is a closed fuzzy set and  $\alpha$ -local finiteness is invariant under  $\alpha$ -perfect map.

**Definition1.1** [9]. Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). A fts (X, T) is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -compact) if each open  $\alpha$ -shading (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -shading) of X has a finite  $\alpha$ -subshading (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -subshading).

**Definition 1.2** [7]. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{B_{\gamma} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$  be two  $\alpha$ shading (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -shading) of a fts (X, T). Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be a refinement
of  $\mathcal{V}$  written  $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{V}$  if for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there is some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $A_{\lambda} \leq B_{\gamma}V_{\gamma}$ .

**Definition 1.3** [8]. Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). An *F*-closed, *F*continuous function  $f: X \to Y$  from a fts X onto a fts Y is said to be  $\alpha$ -Perfect (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -perfect) if  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -compact) if each  $y \in Y$ .

## 2. Local Finiteness in Fuzzy Topological Space

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). A fuzzy set A in a fts (X, T) is said to be an empty fuzzy set of order  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ ) if  $A(x) \leq \alpha$  resp.  $A(x) < \alpha$  for each  $x \in X$ . A fuzzy set A is said to be nonempty of order  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ ) if there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $A(x_0) > \alpha$  (resp.  $A(x_0) \geq \alpha$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). A family  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be  $\alpha$ -point finite (resp.

1350

Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, Volume 20, Issue 8, June 2021

 $\alpha^*$ -point finite) if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_{\lambda}(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $A_{\lambda}(x) \ge \alpha$ ) for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A family  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be  $\alpha$ -locally finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -locally finite) in X if for each  $x \in X$  there exists an open fuzzy set U in X such that U(x) = 1 and  $U \wedge A_{\lambda}$  is non empty of order  $\alpha$  (resp. non empty of order  $\alpha^*$ ) for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

The following theorem follows from definitions.

**Theorem 2.4.** Every  $\alpha$ -locally finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -locally finite) is a  $\alpha$ -point finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -point finite) in fts.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). If  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\{B_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  are any two  $\alpha$ -locally finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -locally finite) families of fuzzy sets in a fts (X, T) then the family  $\{A_{\lambda} \wedge B_{\gamma} : (\lambda, \gamma) \in \Lambda \times \Gamma\}$  is also  $\alpha$ -locally finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -locally finite) in X.

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ , then there exists open fuzzy sets *G* and *H* in *X* such that G(x) = 1, H(x) = 1 and  $G \wedge A_{\lambda}$  and  $H \wedge B_{\gamma}$  are nonempty of order  $\alpha$ for  $\mathbf{at}$ most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Suppose for each  $z \in X$ ,  $[(G \wedge H) \wedge (A_{\lambda} \wedge B_{\gamma})](z) > \alpha$  is true for infinitely many  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in \Lambda \times \Gamma$ . Then it follows that,  $[(G \wedge A_{\lambda}) \wedge (H \wedge B_{\gamma})](z) > \alpha$  for infinitely many  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in \Lambda \times \Gamma$ . It contradicts that  $G \wedge A_{\lambda}$  and  $H \wedge B_{\gamma}$  are nonempty of order  $\alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Therefore the family  $\{A_{\lambda} \land B_{\gamma} : (\lambda, \gamma) \in \Lambda \times \Gamma\}$  is  $\alpha$ -locally finite. The proof for  $\alpha^*$ -case is similar.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  (resp.  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ). A family  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of fuzzy sets in a fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be  $\alpha$ -discrete (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -discrete) if for each  $x \in X$  there exists an open fuzzy set U in X such that U(x) = 1 and  $U \wedge A_{\lambda}$  is non empty of order  $\alpha$  (resp. non empty of order  $\alpha^*$ ) for at most one member  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

It is obvious that every  $\alpha$ -discrete (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -discrete) family is  $\alpha$ -locally finite (resp.  $\alpha^*$ -locally finite).

The following is one of the important and interesting results in topology.

**Theorem 2.7.** If a family  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of fuzzy sets in a fts (X, T) is alocally finite, then the following results hold good.

1.  $\{\overline{A}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is also  $\alpha$ -locally finite family.

2. For each subset  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Lambda, \vee \{\overline{A}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'\}$  is a closed fuzzy set.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $x \in X$ . There is an open fuzzy set U in X such that U(x) = 1 and  $U \wedge A_{\lambda} \wedge i$ s non empty of order  $\alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(U \wedge A_{\lambda})(x_0) > \alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $Min \{U(x_0) \wedge A_{\lambda}(x_0)\} > \alpha$ , for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore  $U \wedge A_{\lambda}$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus  $\{\overline{A}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is also is  $\alpha$ -locally finite family in X.

(2) Let  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ . Let  $B = \bigvee \{\overline{A}_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'\}$ , we prove 1 - B is n open fuzzy set in X. Let  $x \in X$  such that (1 - B)(x) > 0. Then  $(1 - \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda'}, \overline{A}_{\lambda})(x) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda})(x) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} \in \Lambda' \{1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda}(x)\} > 0$ . Therefore  $1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda}(x) > 0$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ . From (1),  $U\Lambda \overline{A}_{\lambda}$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ , say  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda'$ . Therefore, there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(U \wedge \overline{A}_{\lambda})(x_0) > \alpha$  for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $(U \wedge \overline{A}_{\lambda})(x_0) \leq \alpha$  for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$  (I).

Define  $N = U \Lambda (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_i} \overline{A}_{\lambda_i}))$ . Clearly N is an open fuzzy set and N(x) > (1 - B)(x) (II)

Suppose  $N \leq 1-B$  is not true. Then there exists  $z \in X$  such that N(z) > (1-B)(z) that is  $[U \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (1-\overline{A}_{\lambda_i}))](z) > \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} (1-\overline{A}_{\lambda})(z).$ 

 $\text{Suppose} \quad \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda})(z) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda'} \in \Lambda'(1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda}(z)) = (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{some}$ 

$$\begin{split} \lambda_0 &\in \Lambda'. \quad \text{Then} \quad U(z) \Lambda \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_i} \overline{A}_{\lambda i}) \right)(z) > (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z) \qquad \text{and} \\ & \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_i} \overline{A}_{\lambda i})(z) > (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z) \dots \text{(III)}. \end{split}$$

If  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_i$  for some i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, then from (III),  $(1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda i})(z) > (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z)$ , for each i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, which implies  $(1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z) > (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z)$ , (z) which is impossible, and there is a contradiction.

If  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, then we have  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_i i})(z) \ge$  $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{"}}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda})(z)$  and  $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{"}}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda})(z) = (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z)$ . Therefore  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_i i})(z) \ge (1 - \overline{A}_{\lambda_0})(z)$  for  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_n \lambda_n ...$  (IV).

From (I),  $Min \{U(x), \overline{A}_{\lambda}(x)\} \leq \alpha$ , for each  $x \in X$  and for  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$  that is  $\overline{A}_{\lambda^0}(z) \leq \alpha$  for  $z \in X$  and for  $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

Now from (IV),  $\Lambda_{\lambda=1}^{n}(1-\overline{A}_{\lambda})(z) \ge 1-\alpha$  and  $(1-\overline{A}_{\lambda_{i}})(z) \ge 1-\alpha$  for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n. That is  $1-\overline{A}_{\lambda}(z) \ge 1-\alpha$  for  $\lambda = \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, ..., \lambda_{n}$ , which implies  $\overline{A}_{\lambda}(z) \le \alpha$  for  $\lambda = \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, ..., \lambda_{n}\lambda_{n}$  ... (V).

Again from (I), we have  $(U \wedge \overline{A}_{\lambda})(z) > \alpha$  for  $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_n$ . That is  $[U(z) \wedge \overline{A}_{\lambda}] > \alpha$ , for  $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_n$ , which implies  $\overline{A}_{\lambda}(z) > \alpha$  for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_n$  which is a contraction to (V). Therefore N > 1 - B is not true. Hence  $N \leq 1 - B$ . (IV).

Therefore from (II) and (IV), N(x) = (1 - B)(x). Thus it follows that N(x) = (1 - B)(x) and  $N \le 1 - B$ . According to [3] B is a closed fuzzy set. Hence the theorem.

**Corollary 2.8.** If  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\alpha$ -locally finite family of fuzzy sets in a fts (X, T) then it is closure preserving.

**Proof.** If  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\alpha$ -locally finite fuzzy sets in a fts (X, T) then for each  $A_{\lambda} \leq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} A_{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\overline{A}_{\overline{\lambda}} \leq \overline{\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  so  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda} \leq \overline{\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}}$ .

On the other hand, it is known that  $A_{\lambda} \leq \overline{A}_{\lambda}$ , for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , which implies that  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} \leq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda}$ . From above theorem we have  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda}$ is a closed fuzzy set which contains  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}$ . But  $\overline{\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}}$  is a smallest closed fuzzy set containing  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\overline{\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}} \leq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda}$ . Thus  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda} = \overline{\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}}$ . Hence  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  closer preserving.

**Corollary 2.9.** If  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an  $\alpha$ -locally finite family of closed fuzzy sets in X then  $\bigvee A_{\lambda}$  is a closed fuzzy set in X.

**Theorem 2.10.** If  $f : X \to Y$  is a  $\alpha$ -Perfect map and  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\alpha$ -locally finite family of fuzzy subsets of X, then  $\{f(A) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\alpha$ -locally finite family of fuzzy subsets of Y.

**Proof.** Let  $y \in Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in X. For each  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ there is an open fuzzy set  $U_x$  with  $U_x = 1$  and  $U_x \Lambda A$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$  for at most finitely many  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . That is  $\Lambda_x = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : U_x \Lambda A\}$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$ } is finite. Therefore  $\{U_x : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$  is an open  $\alpha$ shading of  $f^{-1}(y)$  and hence has a finite  $\alpha$ -subshading say  $\{U_x : x \in B\}$ , where B is a finite subset of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(y) \leq \vee \{\vee \{U_x : x \in B\}\}$  which is an open fuzzy set in X. There exists an open fuzzy set  $V_y$  in Y such that  $V_y(y) = 1$ . Now  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : V_y \Lambda f(A_\lambda)$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$ } is finite; If  $V_y \Lambda f(A_\lambda)$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$  then  $f^{-1}(V_y) \Lambda A_\lambda$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$ which implies  $U_{x0} \Lambda A_\lambda$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$  for some  $x_{.0} \in B$ . Therefore  $\lambda \in \Lambda x_0$  and  $\lambda \in M = \bigcup \{A_k : x \in B\}$  is a finite subset of  $\Lambda$ . Therefore  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : V_y \Lambda f(A_\lambda)$  is nonempty of order  $\alpha$ } is finite. Thus  $\{f(A_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\alpha$ -locally finite in Y. Hence the theorem.

# References

- A. Bulbul and M. W. Wareen, On the goodness of some type of fuzzy paracompactness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 55 (1993), 187-192.
- J. G. Jiang, Paracompact fuzzy topological spaces, Acta Univ. Sichuan Nat Sci. 3 (1981), 47-51 (Chinese).
- [3] R. H. Wareen, Neighborhood, basis and continuity in fuzzy topological spaces, Rocky Mount. J. Math. 8 (1978), 459-470.
- [4] Mao-Kang Luo, Paracompactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 130 (1988), 55-77.
- [5] S. L. Pu and Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I; Neighborhood structure of fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 571-599.
- [6] S. L. Pu, On locally finite collections in fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Math. 2 (1983), 45-52 [Chinese].
- [7] S. R. Malghan and S. S. Benchalli, On fuzzy topological spaces, Glasnik Matematicki 16(36) (1981), 313-325.
- [8] S. R. Malghan and S. S. Benchalli, Open maps, closed maps and local compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 99 (1984), 338-349.
- [9] T. E. Gantner, R. C. Steinlage and R. H. Wareen, Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 62 (1978), 547-562.