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#### Abstract

Consider a non-trivial, simple, undirected, connected, and finite graph $G$ with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges. $G$ 's vertex and edge sets are $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively. Let the function $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $\sigma(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha}{10}, \alpha \in Z_{4}-\{0\}$ and for each $\alpha \beta \in E(G)$, the induced function $\mu: E(G) \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $\mu(\alpha \beta)=\frac{1}{10}\left\lceil\frac{3 \sigma(\alpha)}{\sigma(\beta)}\right\rceil$, where $\sigma(\alpha) \leq \sigma(\beta)$. $\sigma$ is called fuzzy quotient 3 cordial labeling if $\left|v_{\sigma}(1)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1 \quad$ and $\quad\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(1)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$. For $\mathrm{l} \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}, v_{\sigma}[\mathrm{l}]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[\mathrm{l}]$ represent the number of vertices and edges assigned the labels 1 respectively, If a graph admit this labeling, then it is fuzzy quotient 3 cordial. The existence of above labeling on $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right), S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[K m] A\right), S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, d]\right)$ and $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)$ are examined and the results are provided in this paper.


## 1. Introduction

Labeling is a process of assigning values to vertices, edges, or both of a graph based on certain conditions. Rosa (1967) and Graham and Sloane (1967) were the first to use this technique (1980). The researchers are highly 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78.
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motivated and enthusiastic about labeling the graph. Joseph A. Gallian summarises a comprehensive discussion of graph labelling. As a result of these labelings, we investigated and analysed some graph families as fuzzy quotient 3 cordial [14]. This paper investigates fuzzy quotient-3 cordial labeling on several subdivision graphs and demonstrates that the graphs are naturally fuzzy quotient 3 cordial.

## 2. Definitions

Definition 2.1. A graph denoted by $C_{\eta}(m)$ is produced by linking a vertex of the cycle $C_{\eta}$ with $m$ leaves.

Definition 2.2. A graph results by connecting the $m$ leaves to the nonadjacent vertices of a cycle $C_{2 \eta}$ is denoted by $C_{2 \eta}[m] A, \eta \geq 2$.

Definition 2.3. Attaching $a+(i-1) d, a, d \geq 1$ leaves to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of a cycle $C_{\eta}$ yields the new graph and it is denoted by $C_{\eta}[a, d]$.

Definition 2.4. Attaching $\frac{a\left(r^{i}-1\right)}{r-1}, a, r \geq 1$ leaves to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of a cycle $C_{\eta}$ yields the new graph and it is denoted by $C_{\eta}[a, r]$.

Definition 2.5. A graph $S^{\prime}(G)$ is formed by inserting a new vertex into each pendant edge of graph $G$.

Definition 2.6. Consider a non-trivial, simple, undirected, connected, and finite graph $G$ with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges. G's vertex and edge sets are $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively. Let the function $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $\sigma(\alpha)=\frac{\alpha}{10}, \alpha \in Z_{4}-\{0\}$ and for each $\alpha \beta \in E(G)$, the induced function $\mu: E(G) \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $\mu(\alpha \beta)=\frac{1}{10}\left\lceil\frac{3 \sigma(\alpha)}{\sigma(\beta)}\right\rceil$, where $\sigma(\alpha) \leq \sigma(\beta)$. $\sigma$ is called fuzzy quotient 3 cordial labeling if $\left|v_{\sigma}(\imath)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(\imath)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$. For $\mathrm{t} \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}, v_{\sigma}[1]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[1]$ represent the number of vertices and edges assigned the labels 1 respectively, if a graph admit this labeling, then it is fuzzy quotient 3 cordial.
3. Main Results

Theorem 1. $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right)$ is fuzzy quotient 3 cordial graph.
Proof. Let $V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m\right\}$
$\cup\left\{x_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m\right\} \quad$ and $\quad E\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{1} x_{1+1}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta-1\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1} x_{\eta}\right\}$
$\bigcup\left\{x_{1} y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta,(\imath-1) m+1 \leq \tau \leq m(1)\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\tau} z_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m\right\}$.
$p=\eta+2 \eta m$ and $q=\eta+2 \eta m$.
The following cases must be considered while defining

$$
\sigma: V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right)\right) \rightarrow[0,1] .
$$

For $m=1$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 & 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
\sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 & 1 \leq \tau \leq \eta \\
\sigma\left(z_{\tau}\right)=0.2 & 1 \leq \tau \leq \eta
\end{array}
$$

For $m \geq 2$, labeling of $x_{1} y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ are as follows.
Case 1. $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$.
Subcase 1.1. $m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\mathrm{\imath}}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 1.2. $m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$.
labeling of $x_{1} y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ is same as in subcase 1.1
Subcase 1.3. $m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$.
labeling of $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ is same as in subcase 1.1
Case 2. $\eta=3 \xi+1, \eta \geq 1$.
Subcase 2.1. $m=3 \xi, \eta \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta+1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta+1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta+1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta+1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2. $m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$.
labeling of $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ is same as in subcase 1.1
Subcase 2.3. $m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$.
labeling of $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ is same as in subcase 3.1
Case 3. $\eta=3 \xi+2, \eta \geq 1$.
Subcase 3.1. $m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 & 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
\sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 & 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta-1}{3}\right) \\
\sigma\left(z_{\tau}\right)=0.3 & 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta-1}{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta-1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2\left(\frac{\eta m-\eta-1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq \eta m
\end{aligned}
$$

labeling of $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ are same as in subcase 1.1
Subcase 3.3. $m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$.
labeling of $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\tau}$ are same as in subcase 2.1
Taking $\frac{p}{3}=\delta, v_{\sigma}[\imath]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[\imath]$, where $\imath \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$ is shown in the table below.

Table 1. $v_{\sigma}(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}(i)$ for $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[m]\right)$.

| Value of $\eta$ <br> and $m$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.1]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.2]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.3]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.1]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.2]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ <br> $m \geq 1$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\eta=3 \xi+1$, <br> $\xi \geq 1$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\eta=3 \xi+1$, <br> $\xi \geq 1$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\eta=3 \xi+1$, <br> $\xi \geq 1$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\eta=3 \xi+2$, <br> $\xi \geq 1$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\eta=3 \xi+2$, <br> $\xi \geq 1$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |


| $\eta=3 \xi+2$, | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xi \geq 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It can be seen from the table 2 , that $\left|v_{\sigma}(1)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(\imath)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$. Where $\imath \neq\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$. Thus, the theorem is established.

Theorem 2. $S^{\prime}\left(C_{2 \eta}[m] A\right)$ is fuzzy quotient-3 cordial graph.
Proof. Let $V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{2 \eta}[m] A\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}\right\}$
$\cup\left\{z_{\kappa}: 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad E\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{2 \eta}[m] A\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath} x_{1+1}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta-1\right\} \cup\left\{x_{\imath} x_{\eta}\right\}$
$\bigcup\left\{x_{2 \mathrm{\imath}} z_{\kappa}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, 1+(\imath-1) m \leq \kappa \leq \imath m\right\} \cup\left\{z_{\kappa} y_{\kappa}: 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}\right\}$.
$p=n+\eta m$ and $q=n+\eta m$. The following cases must be considered while defining
$\sigma: V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{2 \eta}[m] A\right)\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$.
Case 1. If $m=1$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.1 & \imath \equiv 3,4(\bmod 6) & 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.2 & \imath \equiv 0,1(\bmod 6) & 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 & \imath \equiv 2,5(\bmod 6) & 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta
\end{array}
$$

Subcase 1.1. $\eta=6 s, \xi \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad \tau \equiv 0,2(\bmod 3) \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta m}{2} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.1 \quad \kappa \equiv 1,2(\bmod 3) \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.1 \quad \kappa \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 1.2. $\eta=6 s+2, \xi \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad \tau \equiv 0,2(\bmod 3) \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}-1 \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2 \quad \tau \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}-1 \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\frac{\eta m}{2}}^{2}\right)=0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

Labeling of $z_{\kappa}$ for $1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}$ is same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 1.3. $\eta=6 s+4, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling of $y_{\tau}$ for $1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}-1$ is same as in subcase 1.2 and $\sigma\left(\frac{y_{\eta m}}{2}\right)=0.2$.

Labeling of $z_{\kappa}$ for $1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta m}{2}-2$ is same as in subcase 1.1 $\sigma\left(z_{\frac{\eta m}{2}-1}\right)=0.1$ and $\sigma\left(z_{\frac{\eta m}{2}}\right)=0.2$.

Case 2. If $m \geq 2$

$$
\sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \mathfrak{\imath} \leq \eta
$$

Subcase 2.1. $\eta=6 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta}{2}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta}{2}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta}{2}\right)+1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta}{2}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2. $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta+1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta+1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta+1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta+1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3. $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta-1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta-1}{3}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta-1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \kappa \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3\left(\frac{\eta m+\eta-1}{3}\right)+1 \leq \tau \leq\left(\frac{\eta m}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.4. $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$
labeling of $y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\mathrm{\kappa}}$ is same as in subcase 2.1
Subcase 2.5. $\eta=6 \xi+4, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$
labeling of $y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ is same as in subcase 2.3
Subcase 2.6. $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1$
labeling of $y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ is same as in subcase 2.2
Subcase 2.7. $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ and $m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$
labeling of $y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ is same as in subcase 2.1
Taking $\frac{p}{3}=\delta, v_{\sigma}[\mathrm{l}]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[\mathrm{r}]$, where $\mathrm{t} \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$ is shown in the table below.

Table 2. $v_{\sigma}(\mathrm{r})$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}(\mathrm{l})$ for $S^{\prime}\left(C_{2 \eta}[m] A\right)$.

| Value of $\eta$ and $m$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.1]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.2]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.3]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.1]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.2]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=6 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ & m \geq 1, m \geq 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$ <br> (or) $\begin{aligned} & \eta=6 \xi+4, \xi \geq 0 \\ & m=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=6 \xi+4, \xi \geq 0 \\ m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=6 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ m=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=6 \xi+4, \xi \geq 0 \\ & m=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |

It can be seen from the table 2 , that $\left|v_{\sigma}(1)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(\imath)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$, where $\imath \neq \tau \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$. Thus, the theorem is established.

Theorem 3. $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, d]\right)$ is fuzzy quotient-3 cordial graph.
Proof. Let $V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, b]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta}{2}[2 a+(\eta-1) d]\right\}$ $\cup\left\{z_{\kappa}: 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\eta}{2}[2 a+(\eta-1) d]\right\}$ and $E\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, b]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{1} x_{\imath+1}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta-1\right\}$
$\bigcup\left\{x_{1} x_{\eta}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1} y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \mathrm{\imath} \leq \eta ;(\mathrm{l}-1) a+\frac{(\mathrm{l}-1)(\mathrm{l}-2) d}{2}+1 \leq \tau \leq \mathrm{\imath} a+\frac{(\mathrm{l}-1) d}{2}\right\}$
$\cup\left\{y_{\tau} z_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\eta}{2}[2 a+(\eta-1) d]\right\} p=\eta[2 a+(\eta-1) d+1]=q$.
The following cases must be considered while defining
$\sigma: V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, b]\right)\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$.

Taking $t=\frac{\eta}{2}[2 a+(\eta-1) d]$
Case 1. $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$

Subcase 1.1. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $\eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1$
Subcase 2.1. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p-1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p-1}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p-1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p-1}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t$.
Subcase 2.2. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$
The labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\mathrm{K}}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 2.3. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\mathrm{\imath}}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p+1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p+1}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p+1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\mathrm{\kappa}}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p+1}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. $\eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$.
Subcase 3.1. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1$ and $d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ (or)
$a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$.

Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 3.2. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ (or)
$a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \quad$ and $\quad d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \quad$ (or) $\quad a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \quad$ and $d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$.

Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 2.1.
Subcase 3.3. $a=2 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \quad \text { (or) } \quad a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \\
& d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 2.3
Taking $\frac{p}{3}=\delta, v_{\sigma}[1]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[\imath]$, where $\mathrm{v} \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$ is shown in the table below.

Table 3. $v_{\sigma}(\imath)$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}(l)$ for $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, d]\right)$.

| Value of $\eta$ and $m$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.1]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.2]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.3]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.1]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.2]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ a, d \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ d \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ d \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ d \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |


| $d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ & d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ d=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ & d=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ & d=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |

It can be seen from the table 2, that $\left|v_{\sigma}(1)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(\imath)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$. Where $\imath \neq \tau \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$. Thus, the theorem is established.

Theorem 4. $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)$ is fuzzy quotient-3 cordial graph.
Proof. Let $V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta\right\} \cup\left\{y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{a\left(r^{n}-1\right)}{r-1}\right\}$
$\cup\left\{z_{\kappa}: 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\alpha\left(r^{n}-1\right)}{r-1}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad E\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)\right)=\left\{x_{\imath} x_{\imath+1}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta-1\right\}$
$\cup\left\{x_{\imath} x_{\eta}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1} y_{\tau}: 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta ; \frac{a\left(r^{\imath-1}-1\right)}{r-1}+1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{a\left(r^{\eta}-1\right)}{r-1}\right\}$
$\cup\left\{y_{\tau} z_{\tau}: 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{a\left(r^{\eta}-1\right)}{r-1}\right\} p=\eta+2 \frac{\alpha\left(r^{\eta}-1\right)}{r-1}=q^{\prime} ;$
The following cases must be considered while defining
$\sigma: V\left(S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$.
Taking $t=\frac{\eta}{2}[2 a+(\eta-1) d]$
Case 1. $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$
Subcase 1.1. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\mathrm{\imath}}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 1.2. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\imath}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p+1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p+1}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(z_{\mathrm{\kappa}}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p+1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\kappa}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p+1}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 1.3. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{\imath}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\mathrm{\kappa}}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 1.4. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(x_{\mathrm{\imath}}\right)=0.3 \quad 1 \leq \imath \leq \eta \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.1 \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{p-1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(y_{\tau}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p-1}{3}+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\mathrm{K}}\right)=0.2 \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{p-1}{3} \\
& \sigma\left(z_{\mathrm{K}}\right)=0.3 \quad \frac{p-1}{3}+1 \leq \kappa \leq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 1.5. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.1
Case 2. $\eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1$.
Subcase 2.1. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.4.
Subcase 2.2. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{\imath}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 2.3. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.4.
Subcase 2.4. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$

Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.2.
Subcase 2.5. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{\imath}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.4
Case 3. $\eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1$.
Subcase 3.1. $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$.
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.2.
Subcase 3.2. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{\imath}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.4.
Subcase 3.3. $a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 3.4. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ (or) $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{1}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.1.
Subcase 3.5. $a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ and $r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0$
Labeling $x_{\imath}, y_{\tau}$ and $z_{\kappa}$ are same as in subcase 1.4.
Taking $\frac{p}{3}=\delta, v_{\sigma}[1]$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}[\imath]$, where $\mathrm{v} \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$ is shown in the table below.

Table 4. $v_{\sigma}(\imath)$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu}(l)$ for $S^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}[a, r]\right)$.

| Value of $\eta, a$ and $r$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.1]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.2]$ | $v_{\sigma}[0.3]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.1]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.2]$ | $\varepsilon_{\mu}[0.3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
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| $\begin{gathered} a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 0 r \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ & a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ & r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ & r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ & r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |


| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi, \xi \geq 0 \\ r \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ & a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ & r=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ | $\delta$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \eta=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a=3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \\ r=3 \xi, \xi \geq 1 \\ r=3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} \eta & =3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 1 \\ a & =3 \xi+2, \xi \geq 0 \\ r & =3 \xi+1, \xi \geq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\delta+\frac{2}{3}$ |

It can be seen from the table 2, that $\left|v_{\sigma}(1)-v_{\sigma}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{\mu}(\imath)-\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tau)\right| \leq 1$. Where $\imath \neq \tau \in\left\{\frac{r}{10}, r \in Z_{4}-\{0\}\right\}$. Thus, the theorem is established.

## 4. Conclusion

The presence of fuzzy quotient 3 labelling on some subdivision graphs is discussed and established in this study. Our next step will be to investigate this concept in different graph families and identify applications for it.
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