



## LEVEL OPERATORS ON BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY $\alpha$ -IDEAL AND BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC ANTI FUZZY $\alpha$ -IDEAL OF A BP-ALGEBRA

S. SIVAKAMINATHAN<sup>1\*</sup>, K. GUNASEKARAN<sup>2</sup> and S. NANDAKUMAR<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Ramanujan Research Centre  
PG and Research Department of Mathematics  
Government Arts College (Autonomous)  
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)  
Kumbakonam-612 002, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Principal (Incharge), Government Arts and Science College  
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)  
Kuttalam-609 808, Tamilnadu, India  
E-mail: drkgmath@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics  
Government Arts College  
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)  
Ariyalur-621 713, Tamilnadu, India  
E-mail: udmnanda@gmail.com

### Abstract

The concept of a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal are a new algebraic structure of BP-algebra and to use level operators. The purpose of this study is to implement the fuzzy set theory and ideal theory of a BP-algebra. The relation between the operation of level operators on bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal are established.

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A72.

Keywords: BP-algebra, fuzzy ideal, bipolar fuzzy ideal, bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal, bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal, level operators.

\*Corresponding author; E-mail: snathan394@gmail.com

Received February 13, 2022; Accepted July 4, 2022

## 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by L. A. Zadeh [14] then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, graph theory. S. S. Ahn [2] gave the idea of BP-algebra. Bipolar valued fuzzy sets was introduced by K. J. Lee [6] are an extension of fuzzy sets whose positive membership degree range is enlarged from the interval  $[0, 1]$  to  $[-1, 1]$ . In a bipolar valued fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 means that the elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property, the positive membership degree  $(0, 1]$  indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the property and the negative membership degree  $[-1, 0)$  indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter property. The author W. R. Zhang [15] commenced the concept of bipolar fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets in 1998. K. Chakrabarthy and Biswas R. Nanda [3] investigated note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. A. Rajeshkumar [13] was analyzed fuzzy groups and level subgroups. M. Palanivelrajan, K. Gunasekaran and S. Nandakumar [12] introduced the level operators on intuitionistic fuzzy primary ideal and semiprimary ideal. K. Gunasekaran, S. Nandakumar and S. Sivakaminathan [16] introduced the definition of bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of a BP-algebra.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = (\mu_{\alpha_A}^P, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N, \nu_{\alpha_A}^P, \nu_{\alpha_A}^N)$  and  $B = (\mu_{\alpha_B}^P, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N, \nu_{\alpha_B}^P, \nu_{\alpha_B}^N)$  in  $X$ , we define

$$(i) \quad A \cap B = \{(x, \min(\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \max(\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)),$$

$$\max(\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \min(\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^N(x))\}/x \in X\}$$

$$(ii) \quad A \cup B = \{(x, \max(\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \min(\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)),$$

$$\min(\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \max(\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^N(x))\}/x \in X\}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \bar{A} = \{(x, \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x))\}/x \in X\}.$$

**Definition 2.** A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = \{(x, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x))/x \in X\}$ , of BP-algebra  $X$  is called a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$  and  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (ii)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (iii)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$
- (iv)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$  and  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (v)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (vi)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 3.** A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A = \{(x, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x))/x \in X\}$ , of BP-algebra  $X$  is called a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$  and  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (ii)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (iii)  $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$
- (iv)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \geq \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$  and  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \leq \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (v)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (vi)  $\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $X$ , then the

level operator  $!$  is defined by  $!A = \left\{ \left( x, \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right), \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right), \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right) \right) / x \in X \right\} = L_1$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of  $X$ , then the level operator  $?$  is defined by  $?A = \left\{ \left( x, \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right), \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right), \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right) \right) / x \in X \right\} = L_2$ .

### 3. Level Operators on Bipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy $\alpha$ -Ideal

**Theorem 1.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(0) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0)\right) \\ &\geq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(0) &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0)\right) \\ &\leq \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z)\right) \\
 &\geq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}\right) \\
 &= \min\left\{\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z)\right), \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\right)\right\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!A}^P(y * z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(y * z) &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z)\right) \\
 &\leq \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}\right) \\
 &= \max\left\{\min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\right)\right\} \\
 &= \max\{\mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!A}^N(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) Now } v_{\alpha!A}^P(0) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(0)\right) \\
 &\leq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right) \\
 &= v_{\alpha!A}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!A}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha!A}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } v_{\alpha!A}^N(0) &= \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(0)\right) \\
 &\geq \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= v_{\alpha!A}^N(x)$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!A}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha!A}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha!A}^P(y * z) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right) \\ &\leq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}\right) \\ &= \max\left\{\min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z)\right), \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\right)\right\} \\ &= \max\{v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!A}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha!A}^N(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(y * z)\right) \\ &\geq \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min\{v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\}\right) \\ &= \min\left\{\max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z)\right), \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\right)\right\} \\ &= \min\{v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!A}^N(y * z) \geq \min\{v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore  $!A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(A \cap B) = !A \cap !B$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A \cap B$  then  $0, x, y, z \in A$  and  $0, x, y, z \in B$ .

$$\text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha!(A \cap B)}^P(0) = \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^P(0)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(0)\}\right) \\
 &\geq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)\}\right) \\
 &= \min\left\{\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right), \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)\right)\right\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)\} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!(A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha!A \cap !B}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha!(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(0)\right) \\
 &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(0)\}\right) \\
 &\leq \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)\}\right) \\
 &= \max\left\{\min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)\right)\right\} \\
 &= \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)\} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha!A \cap !B}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!(A \cap B)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha!A \cap !B}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha!(A \cap B)}^P(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(y * z)\right) \\
 &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(y * z)\}\right) \\
 &\geq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(y * z)\}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \right), \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z) \right), \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \right) \right\}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \min \left\{ \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \right), \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \right) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 & \quad = \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha(A \cap B)}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x * y) \}$

(iii) Now  $\mu_{\alpha(A \cap B)}^N(y * z) = \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(y * z) \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \right) \\
 &\leq \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
 &= \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \left\{ \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right), \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \right) \right\}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \max \left\{ \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right), \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \right) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &\quad = \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(x * y) \}$

(iv) Now  $v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(0) = \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(0) \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \max (v_{\alpha_A}^P(0), v_{\alpha_B}^P(0)) \right) \\
 &\leq \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \max (v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x)) \right) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \right) \right\} \\
 &= \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \} \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^P(x)$

Now  $v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(0) = \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A \cap B}^N(0) \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min(v_{\alpha_A}^N(0), v_{\alpha_B}^N(0))\right) \\
&\geq \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min(v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x))\right) \\
&= \min\left\{\max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right), \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x)\right)\right\} \\
&= \min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x)\} \\
&= v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(y * z) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(y * z)\right) \\
&= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max(v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(y * z))\right) \\
&\leq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{\max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\}\right) \\
&= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{\max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\}\right) \\
&= \max\left\{\min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}\right), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\right)\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\max\left\{\min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z)\right), \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z)\right)\right\}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max\left\{\min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\right), \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\right)\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \{ \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \}, \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha(A \cap B)}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{ v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(x * y) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha(A \cap B)}^N(y * z) &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(y * z) \right) \\
 &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \right) \\
 &\geq \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \{ v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
 &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \{ v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
 &= \min \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \right) \right\}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \right) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \min \{ v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha(A \cap B)}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^N(x * y) \}$

Therefore  $!(A \cap B) = !A \cap !B$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $?A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(0) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(0)\right) \\ &\geq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x)\right) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(0) &= \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right) \\ &\leq \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x)\right) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) &= \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right) \\ &\geq \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}\right) \\ &= \min\left\{\min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z)\right), \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\right)\right\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(y * z)\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \max\{\mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\}\right) \\ &= \max\left\{\max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z)\right), \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\right)\right\} \\ &= \max\{\mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv) Now } v_{\alpha?A}^P(0) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(0)\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x)\right) \\ &= v_{\alpha?A}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?A}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha?A}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } v_{\alpha?A}^N(0) &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right) \\ &\geq \max\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x)\right) \\ &= v_{\alpha?A}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?A}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha?A}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z) \right), \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \right) \right\} \\
&= \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) &= \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \right) \\
&\geq \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right) \\
&= \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right), \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right) \right\} \\
&= \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}$

Therefore  $?A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $?(A \cap B) = ?A \cap ?B$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A \cap B$  then  $0, x, y, z \in A$  and  $0, x, y, z \in B$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(0) &= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A \cap \alpha_B}^P(0) \right) \\
&= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(0) \} \right) \\
&\geq \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \} \right) \\
&= \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min \{ \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha?B}^P(x) \} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha?A \cap ?B}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha?(A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha?A \cap ?B}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha?(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^N(0) \right) \\
 &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0), \mu_{\alpha B}^N(0) \} \right) \\
 &\leq \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha B}^N(x) \} \right) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha B}^N(x) \right) \right\} \\
 &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha?B}^N(x) \} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha?A \cap ?B}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha?(A \cap B)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha?A \cap ?B}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha?(A \cap B)}^P(y * z) &= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^P(y * z) \right) \\
 &= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(y * z) \} \right) \\
 &\geq \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \{ \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
 &= \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y) \}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min \{ \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * y) \} \} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}, \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \right) \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z) \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \right) \right\}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \right) \right\} \right\} \\
&= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
&\quad = \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(x * y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(x * y) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) &= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(y * z) \right) \\
&= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \right) \\
&\leq \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
&= \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \right) \\
&= \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \right) \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \right) \right\}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \right) \right\} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right), \max \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \right) \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\ &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^N(x * y) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^N(x * y) \}$

(iv) Now 
$$\begin{aligned} v_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^P(0) &= \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(0) \right) \\ &= \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \max (v_{\alpha_A}^P(0), v_{\alpha_B}^P(0)) \right) \\ &\leq \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, \max (v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x)) \right) \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \right), \max \left( \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \right) \right\} \\ &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \} \\ &= v_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{A \cap ? B}}^P(x)$

Now 
$$\begin{aligned} v_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^N(0) &= \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(0) \right) \\ &= \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min (v_{\alpha_A}^N(0), v_{\alpha_B}^N(0)) \right) \\ &\geq \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, \min (v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x)) \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \right), \min \left( \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x) \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^N(x)$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?(A\cap B)}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha?(A\cap B)}^P(y * z) &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{A\cap B}}^P(y * z)\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max(v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(y * z))\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{\max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\},\right. \\ &\quad \left.\max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{\max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\},\right. \\ &\quad \left.\max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\}\right) \\ &= \max\left\{\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}\right),\right. \\ &\quad \left.\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\}\right)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\max\left\{\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z)\right), \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z)\right)\right\},\right. \\ &\quad \left.\max\left\{\max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\right), \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y)\right)\right\}\right\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{v_{\alpha?A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha?B}^P(x * z)\}, \max\{v_{\alpha?A}^P(x * y), v_{\alpha?B}^P(x * y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?(A\cap B)}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha?A\cap?B}^P(x * y)\}$

(vi) Now  $v_{\alpha?(A\cap B)}^N(y * z) = \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{A\cap B}}^N(y * z)\right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min(v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z))\right) \\
 &\geq \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min\{\min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min\{v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y)\}\}\right) \\
 &= \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min\{\min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min\{v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y)\}\}\right) \\
 &= \min\left\{\min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, \min\{v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y)\}\right)\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\min\left\{\min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z)\right)\right\}, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \min\left\{\min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\right), \min\left(\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y)\right)\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \min\{\min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z)\}, \min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y)\}\} \\
 &\quad = \min\{v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha_{(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \geq \min\{v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore  $\alpha_{(A \cap B)} = \alpha_A \cap \alpha_B$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\overline{\alpha A} = !A$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^P(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^P(0) \\
&= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(0)\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0)\right\} \\
&\geq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)\right\} \\
&= \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^N(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^N(0) \\
&= \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^N(0)\right\} \\
&= \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0)\right\} \\
&\leq \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)\right\} \\
&= \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\gamma\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \\
&= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(y * z)\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z) \right\}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}$

(iii) Now  $\mu_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) = v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^N(y * z)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \right\} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}$

(iv) Now  $v_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(0) = \mu_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_{\bar{A}}}^P(0) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \right\} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= v_{\alpha!A}^P(x)$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?A}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha!A}^P(x)$

Now  $v_{\alpha?A}^N(0) = \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(0)$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right\}$$

$$\geq \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x)\right\}$$

$$= v_{\alpha!A}^N(x)$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?A}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha!A}^N(x)$

(v) Now  $\mu_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z)$

$$= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right\}$$

$$= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right\}$$

$$\leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \max\{v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{\min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z)\right\}, \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\right\}\right\}$$

$$= \max\{v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}$

(vi) Now  $v_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha \bar{A}}^N(y * z) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(y * z) \right\} \\
 &\geq \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{ v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y) \} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \{ v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha \bar{A}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y) \}$

Therefore  $\bar{?A} = !A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 6.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(?A) = ?(!A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Consider  $0, x, y, z \in A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha !(?A)}^P(0) &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha ?A}^P(0) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(0) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(0) \right\} \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x) \right\} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha ?(!A)}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!(?A)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(0) &= \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha?A}^N(0)\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0)\right\}\right\} \\
 &\leq \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \min\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x)\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x)\right\} \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha!(?A)}^P(y * z) &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z)\right\} \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)\right\}\right\} \\
 &\geq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \min\left\{\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z)\right\}, \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\right\}\right\}\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\}\right\} \\
 &= \min\left\{\min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z)\right\}, \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\right\}\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$= \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^P(x * y) \}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^P(x * y) \}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(y * z) &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_?A}^N(y * z) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(x * y) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_?(!A)}^N(x * y) \}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv) Now } v_{\alpha_?A}^P(0) &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_?A}^P(0) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \right\} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^P(x) \right\} \\
&= v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!(?A)}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } v_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(0) &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha?A}^N(0) \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(0) \right\} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(0) \right\} \right\} \\
&\geq \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x) \right\} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^N(x) \right\} \\
&= v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha!(?A)}^P(y * z) &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha?A}^P(y * z) \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \{ v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y) \} \right\} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * z) \right\}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^P(x * y) \right\} \right\} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \max \{v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y)\} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * z) \right\}, \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^P(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \max \{v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!(?A)}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha?(!A)}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(y * z) &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha?A}^N(y * z) \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(y * z) \right\} \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\} \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \max \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, \min \{v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y)\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * z) \right\}, \min \left\{ \frac{-1}{2}, v_{\alpha!A}^N(x * y) \right\} \right\} \\
 &= \min \{v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v_{\alpha!(?A)}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha?(!A)}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore  $!(?A) = ?(!A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 7.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(\square A) = \square(!A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 8.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\square(?A) = ?(\square A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 9.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $?( \diamond A) = \diamond(? A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 10.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!( \diamond A) = \diamond(! A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 11.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $! A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 12.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(A \cap B) = !A \cap !B$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 13.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $? A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 14.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $?(A \cap B) = ?A \cap ?B$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 15.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\overline{?A} = !A$  is also a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 16.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(? A) = ?(! A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 17.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!(\square A) = \square(! A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 18.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\square(? A) = ?(\square A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 19.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $?( \diamond A) = \diamond(? A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 20.** *If  $A$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $!( \diamond A) = \diamond(! A)$  is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

## References

- [1] S. Abdullah and M. M. M. Aslam, Bipolar fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups, World Appl. Sci. J. 17(12) (2012), 1769-1782.

- [2] S. S. Ahn and J. S. Han, On BP-algebra, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 42 (2013), 551-557.
- [3] K. Chakrabarthy and Biswas R. Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets 3(4) (1997).
- [4] K. J. Lee and Y. B. Jun, Bipolar fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideals of BCI-algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 26(4) (2011), 531-542.
- [5] K. J. Lee, Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 32(3) (2009), 361-373.
- [6] K. J. Lee, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their basic operations, Proc. Int. Conf., Bangkok, Thailand (2007), 307-317.
- [7] J. Meng and X. Guo, On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 149(3) (2005), 509-525.
- [8] Osama Rashad EI-Gendy, Bipolar fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of BP-algebra, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 10(2) (2020), 33-37.
- [9] M. Palanivelrajan and S. Nandakumar, Intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Indian Journal of Applied Research 1(5) (2012), 159-160.
- [10] M. Palanivelrajan and S. Nandakumar, Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semi primary ideals, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets 18(3) (2012), 68-74.
- [11] M. Palanivelrajan and S. Nandakumar, Some operations of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Asian Journal of Algebra 5(2) (2012), 44-49.
- [12] M. Palanivelrajan, K. Gunasekaran and S. Nandakumar, Level operators over intuitionistic fuzzy primary ideal and semiprimary ideal, Advances in Fuzzy Sets and Systems 15(2) (2013), 95-111.
- [13] A. Rajeshkumar, Fuzzy Algebra: Volume I (Publication division, University of Delhi).
- [14] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [15] W. R. Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets, Part I, Proc. of FUZZ-IEEE, 2 (1998), 835-840.
- [16] K. Gunasekaran, S. Nandakumar and S. Sivakaminathan, Bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy  $\alpha$ -ideal of a BP-algebra, Journal of Shanghai Jiao tong University 17(8) (2021), 8-24.