



# ON SOME DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONS IN LIZORKIN-TRIEBEL-MORREY SPACES WITH DOMINANT MIXED DERIVATIVES

ALIK M. NAJAFOV<sup>1,2</sup> and AZIZGUL M. GASIMOVA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction  
Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan  
Baku, Azerbaijan  
E-mail: aliknajafov@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Sumgait State University  
Sumgait, Azerbaijan  
E-mail: aliknajafov@gmail.com  
ezizgul.qasimova@mail.ru

## Abstract

In this paper some differential properties of functions in Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey spaces with dominant mixed derivatives introduced and studied via embedding theory.

## 1. Introduction

In connection with the study of different types of differential equations, for example equations in which the dominating mixed derivative, makes it possible to study function spaces with the dominant mixed derivative.

In this paper we introduce

$$S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi).$$

Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey-type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives and study the differential and difference-differential properties of functions with the help of the method of integral representations in this space.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 46E30, 42B35.

Keywords: Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey spaces with dominant mixed derivatives, Embedding theorems, Flexible horn, Hölder condition.

Received May 22, 2020

Let  $G \subset R^n; 1 < p, \theta < \infty; \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n), \beta_j \in [0, 1], j \in e_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\varphi(t) = (\varphi_1(t_1), \dots, \varphi_n(t_n)), \varphi_j(t_j) > 0(t_j > 0)$  be continuously-differentiable functions,  $\lim_{t_j \rightarrow +0} \varphi_j(t_j) = 0, \lim_{t_j \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_j(t_j) = K \leq \infty, j \in e_n$ . We denote by  $A$  the set of such vector-functions.

Note that the spaces with parameters constructed and studied in Morrey’s papers [8, 9]. After these results in the papers of V. P. Il’in [4], A. S. Ross [17], Yu. V. Netrusov [16], A. Mazzucato [7], V. Kokilashvili, A. Meskhi, H. Rafeiro [6], V. S. Guliyev [2, 3], Y. Sawano [19], E. Nakai [15], Xu Jingshi [20], A. M. Najafov [10-14], L. Kadimova and R. Kerbalayeva [5] etc. this theory were developed and generalized.

For any  $x \in R^n$  we assume

$$G_{\varphi(t)}(x) = G \cap I_{\varphi(t)}(x) = G \cap \left\{ y : |y_j - x_j| < \frac{1}{2} \varphi_j(t_j), j \in e_n \right\}$$

and  $m_j > 0$  is entire,  $l_j > 0, k_j \geq 0$  are entire and  $m_j > l_j > k_j \geq 0; j \in e_n$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Denote by  $S_{p,\theta,\varphi,\beta}^l F(G_\varphi)$  the Banach space of locally summable functions on  $G$  with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{S_{p,\theta,\varphi,\beta}^l F(G_\varphi)} = \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \left\| \int_{0^e}^{t_0^e} \left[ \frac{\delta^{m^e}(\varphi_i(t)) D^{k^e} f(\cdot)}{\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{(l_j - k_j)}} \right] \prod_{j \in e} \frac{d\varphi_j(t_j)}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \right\|_{p,\varphi,\beta}^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \quad (1.1)$$

here

$$\|f\|_{p,\varphi,\beta;G} = \|f\|_{L_{p,\varphi,\beta}(G)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in G \\ t_j > 0; j \in e_n}} \left( \prod_{j \in e_n} |\varphi_j([t_j]_1)|^{-\beta_j} \|f\|_{p,G_{\varphi(t)}(x)} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t))f(x) = \left( \prod_{j \in e} \delta_j^{m_j}(t_j) \right) f(x), \delta_j^{m_j}(t_j)f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 |\Delta_j^{m_j}(t_j u, G_\varphi)f(x)| du,$$

$[t_j]_1 = \min \{1, t_j\}, j \in e_n$  and  $t_0 = (t_{01}, \dots, t_{0n})$  is a fixed positive vector, and

$$\int_{a^e}^{b^e} f(x) dx^e = \left( \prod_{j \in e} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} dx_j \right) f(x),$$

i.e., integration is carried out only with respect to the variables  $x_j$  whose indices belong to  $e$ .

The spaces  $S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi)$  in the case  $\beta_j = 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  coincides with the space  $S_{p, \theta}^l F(G)$  Lizorkin-Triebel with dominant mixed derivatives, defined and studied in [11] with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^l F(G_\varphi)} = \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \left\| \int_{0^e}^{t_0^e} \left[ \frac{\delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) D^{k^e} f(\cdot)}{\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{l_j - k_j}} \right] \prod_{j \in e} \frac{d\varphi_j(t_j)}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \right\|_{p, \theta}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}. \tag{1.3}$$

For any  $t_j > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j [t_j]_1)) \leq C$ , then the following embedding holds

$$L_{p, \varphi, \beta}(G) \hookrightarrow L_p(G) \quad S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{p, \theta}^l F(G_\varphi),$$

i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{p, G} \leq c \|f\|_{p, \varphi, \beta, G} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^l F(G_\varphi)} \leq c \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi)}. \tag{1.4}$$

In the case  $1 < \theta \leq r \leq s \leq \sigma < \infty$  and  $\theta \leq p \leq \sigma$ , we have  $S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l B(G_\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{p, r, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{p, s, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi) \hookrightarrow S_{p, \sigma, \varphi, \beta}^l B(G_\varphi)$ . The space  $S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l B(G_\varphi)$  studied in [13].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty, 1 < \theta < \infty$ , and  $f \in S_{p, \theta}^l F(G_\varphi)$ . Then one can construct the sequence  $h_s = h_s(x) (s = 1, 2, \dots)$  of infinitely differentiable finite functions in  $R^n$  such that*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|f - h_s\|_{S_{p, \theta}^l F(G)} = 0.$$

Let  $\Psi_e(\cdot, y) \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ , and

$$S(\Psi_e) \subset I_{\varphi(t)} = \left\{ y : |y_j| < \frac{1}{2} \varphi_j(t_j), j \in e_n \right\}.$$

Assume that for any  $0 < T_j \leq 1, j \in e_n$

$$V = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < t_j \leq T_j \\ j \in e_n}} \left\{ y : \left( \frac{y}{\varphi_j(t^e + T^{e'})} \right) \in S(\Psi_e) \right\},$$

where  $t^e + T^{e'} = t_j (j \in e); t^e + T^{e'} = T_j (j \in e_n \setminus e = e'), U \subset G, V \subset I_{\varphi(t)}$ , and suppose that  $U + V \subset G$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty, 0 < \eta_j, t_j \leq T_j \leq 1 (j \in e_n); v = (v_1, \dots, v_n), v_j \geq 0$  be entire ( $j \in e$ ),  $f_e \in L_{p, \varphi, \beta}(G)$  and*

$$\mu_j = l_j - v_j - (1 - \beta_j p) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\eta}^e(x) &= \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-1-v_j} \int_{0^e}^{\eta^e} \int_{R^n} \psi(x, y; t^e + T^{e'}) f_e(x + y, t) \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-2-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi'_j(t_j) dt^e dy, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\eta, T}^e(x) &= \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-1-v_j} \int_{\eta^e}^{T^e} \int_{R^n} \psi(x, y; t^e + T^{e'}) f_e(x + y, t) \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-2-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi'_j(t_j) dt_j, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$|f_e(x, t)| \leq C \int_{-1}^{1^e} |\delta^{m^e}(\varphi_j(t)) f(x + \vartheta \varphi_i(t))| d\vartheta^e.$$

Then for any  $\bar{x} \in U$  the following inequalities are valid

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\bar{x} \in U} \|A_{\eta}^e\|_{q, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} &\leq C_1 \left\| \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta, G} \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-v_j - (1-\beta_j p) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\psi_j[\xi_j]_1)^{\beta_j \frac{p}{q}} \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(\eta_j))^{-\mu_j} \quad (\mu_j > 0), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\bar{x} \in U} \|A_{\eta, T}^e\|_{q, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} &\leq C_2 \left\| \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta; G} \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-v_j - (1-\beta_j p) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\psi_j[\xi_j]_1)^{\beta_j \frac{p}{q}} \\ &\times \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-\mu_j} & \text{for } \mu_j > 0 \\ \prod_{j \in e} \ln \frac{\varphi_j(T_j)}{\varphi_j(\eta_j)} & \text{for } \mu_j = 0 \\ \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(\eta_j))^{-\mu_j} & \text{for } \mu_j < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

here  $U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x}) = \{x : |x_j - \bar{x}_j| < \frac{1}{2} \psi_j(\xi_j), j \in e_n\}$  and  $\psi \in A, C_1, C_2$  are the constants independent of  $f, \xi, \eta$  and  $T$ .

**Proof.** Applying sequentially Minkowskii generalized inequality for any  $\bar{x} \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{\eta}^e\|_{q, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} &\leq \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-1-v_j} \int_{0^e}^{\eta^e} \|A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'})\|_{q, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-2-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi'_j(t_j) dt_j, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) = \int_{R^n} \psi(x, y; t^e + T^{e'}) f_e(x + y, t) dy. \quad (1.10)$$

From the Hölder inequality ( $q \leq r$ ) we have

$$\| A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \|_{q, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \leq \| A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \|_{r, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\psi_j(\xi_j))^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}}. \tag{1.11}$$

Further, we will assume that there exists a function  $\psi_1(x)$  such that  $|\psi(x, y, z)| \leq C |\psi_1(x)|$  for all  $(y, z) \in R^n \times R^n$ .

Let  $\chi$  be a characteristic function of the set  $S(\psi)$ . Again applying the Holder inequality for representing function in the form (1.10) for  $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty, s \leq r$  as  $\left(\frac{1}{s} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}\right)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \|_{r, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} &\leq \sup_{x \in U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} \left( \int_{R^n} |f_e(x + y, t)|^p \chi\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})}\right) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \\ &\times \sup_{y \in V} \left( \int_{U_{\varphi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} |f_e(x + y, t)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \int_{R^n} |\Psi_i\left(\frac{y}{\psi(t^e + T^{e'})}\right)|^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

For any  $x \in U$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{R^n} |f_e(x + y, t)|^p \chi\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})}\right) dy \\ &\leq \int_{(U+V)_{\varphi(t)}(\bar{x})} |f_e(y, t)|^p dy \leq \int_{G_{\varphi(t)}(\bar{x})} |f_e(y, t)|^p dy \\ &\leq \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{\beta_j p} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{\beta_j p} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{l_j p} \\ &\| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{l_j p} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi_i(t)) f \|_{p, \varphi, \beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

For  $y \in V(U_{\psi} + V \subset G_{\varphi})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} |f_e(x + y, t)|^p dx \leq \int_{(U+V)_{\psi\xi}(\bar{x})} |f_e(y, t)|^p dx \\ &\leq \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{p l_j} \| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) f \|_{p, \varphi, \beta} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\psi_j([\xi_j]_1))^{\beta_j p}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\int_{R^n} |\Psi_1 \left( \frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})} \right)|^s dy = \|\Psi_1\|_s^s \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j)). \quad (1.15)$$

From inequalities (1.11)-(1.15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'})\|_{r, U_{\psi(\xi)}(\bar{x})} &\leq \|\Psi_1\|_s, \left\| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t))f \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta} \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{1-(1-\beta_j p) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{1-(1-\beta_j p) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} (\psi_j([\xi_j]_1)) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \prod_{j \in e} (\psi_j([\xi_j]_1)) \frac{\beta_j p}{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Substituting inequalities in (1.9) for  $(r = q)$ , we obtain (1.7). Inequality (1.8) is proved in the same way.

**Corollary 1.3.** *From inequality (1.7) for  $\beta_{1j} = \frac{\beta_j p}{q}$ ,  $j \in e_n$  it follows that*

$$\|A_{\eta}^e\|_{q, \psi, \beta_1; U} \leq \left\| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t))f \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta}, \quad (1.17)$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $f$ .

## 2. Main Results

We prove two theorems on the properties of functions in the space  $S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_{\varphi})$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the domain  $G \subset R^n$  satisfy the condition of exible  $\varphi$ -horn [14],  $1 < p < q \leq \infty$  and let  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ ,  $v_j \geq 0$  be entire  $j \in e_n$ ,  $1 < \theta < \infty$ ;  $\mu_j > 0 (j \in e_n)$ , and let  $f \in S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_{\varphi})$ .*

*Then the following embedding holds*

$$D^v : S_{p, \theta_1, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi) \hookrightarrow L_{q, \psi, \beta^1}(G)$$

i.e., for  $f \in S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi)$  generalized derivatives  $D^v f$  exist and the following inequalities are true

$$\| D^v f \|_{q, G} \leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{s_{e,j}} \left\| \int_{0^e}^{t_0^e} \left[ \frac{\delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) D^{k^e} f(\cdot)}{\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{l_j - k_j}} \right]^\theta \frac{d\varphi_j(t_j)}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta}^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\| D^v f \|_{q, \psi, \beta^1; G} \leq C_2 \| f \|_{S_{p, \theta, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G)}, \quad p \leq q < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, if

$$\mu_{j,0} = l_j - v_j - (1 - \beta_j p) \frac{1}{p} > 0,$$

then  $D^v f(x)$  is continuous in the domain  $G$ , and

$$\sup_{x \in G} | D^v f(x) | \leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{s_{e,j}^0} \left\| \int_{0^e}^{t_0^e} \left[ \frac{\delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) D^{k^e} f(\cdot)}{\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{l_j - k_j}} \right]^\theta \frac{d\varphi_j(t_j)}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta}^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$s_{e,j} = \begin{cases} \mu_j & \text{for } j \in e, \\ -v_j - (1 - \beta_j p) \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right), & j \in e' \end{cases}$$

$0 < T_j \leq \min \{1, t_{0j}\} (j \in e_n)$ ,  $C_1, C_2$  are the constants independents of  $f$ ,  $C_1$  independent of  $T$ .



**Proof.** Under the conditions of our theorem, generalized derivatives  $D^v f$  exist. Indeed, if  $\mu_j > 0, \{j \in e_n\}$ , then for  $f \in S_{p,\theta,\varphi,\beta}^l(G_\varphi) \rightarrow S_{p,\theta,\varphi,\beta}^l(F(G_\varphi))$  there exist generalized derivatives  $D^v f \in L_p(G)$  and for almost each point  $x \in G$  the integral representation [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^v f(x) &= \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-1-v_j} \\
 &\times \int_{0^e}^{T^e} \int_{R^n} M_e^{(v)} \left( \frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^e)}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t^e + T^e), x)}{\mathfrak{I}\varphi(t^e + T^e)} \right) f_e(x + t, t) \\
 &\times \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-2-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi_j'(t_j) dt^e dy \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

with the kernels is valid and  $0 \leq T_j \leq \min \{1, t_{j,0}\}, j \in e_n, M_e, (\cdot, y) \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ .

From the Minkowski inequality we have

$$\| D^v f \|_{q,G} \leq \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \| A_T^e \|_{q,G}. \tag{2.5}$$

By means of inequality (1.7) for  $U = G, \Psi = M_e, \eta_j = T_j(j \in e), \xi \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \leq \theta$ , we get inequality (2.1). By means of inequality (1.8) for  $\eta_j = T_j, (j \in e_n), p \leq \theta$  and from (1.17) we have (2.2).

Now let  $\mu_{j,0} = \mu_j(q = \infty) > (j \in e_n)$ , then from the identity (2.4),  $\beta \leq 0$ , from (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| D^v f - f_{\varphi(T)}^{(v)} \|_{\infty,G} \\
 &\leq \sum_{\emptyset \neq e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{\mu_{j,0}} \left\| \int_{0^e}^{t_0^e} \left[ \frac{\delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) D^{k^e} f(\cdot)}{\prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{l_j - k_j}} \right]^\theta \frac{d\varphi_j(t_j)}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \right\|_{p,\varphi,\beta}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $T_j \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j \in e_n$ , then  $\|D^v f - f_{\varphi(T)}^{(v)}\|_{\infty, G}$ . Since  $f_{\varphi(T)}(x)$  is continuous on  $G$  the convergence on  $L_\infty(G)$  coincides with the uniform convergence. Then the limit function  $D^v f$  is continuous on  $G$ . Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Let  $\gamma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.1 be fulfilled. Then for  $\mu_j > 0$  ( $f \in e_n$ ) the generalized derivatives  $D^v f$  satisfies on  $G$  the generalized Holder condition, i.e. the following inequality is valid:*

$$\|\Delta(\gamma, G)D^v f\|_{q, G} \leq C \|f\|_{S_{p, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G_\varphi)} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|))^{\sigma_j}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\sigma_j$  ( $j \in e_n$ ) is any number satisfying the inequality:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \sigma_j \leq 1, \text{ if } \mu_j > 1 \text{ for } j \in e, \\ 0 \leq \sigma_j \leq 1, \text{ if } \mu_j = 1 \text{ for } j \in e, \text{ and } 0 \leq \sigma_j \leq 1 \text{ for } j \in e' \\ 0 \leq \sigma_j \leq \mu_j, \text{ if } \mu_j > 1 \text{ for } j \in e, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

If  $\mu_{j,0} > 0$  ( $j \in e_n$ ), then

$$\sup_{x \in G} |\Delta(\gamma, G)D^v f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{S_{p, \varphi, \beta}^l F(G)} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|))^{\sigma_j^0}. \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\sigma_j^0$  satisfy the same conditions as  $\sigma_j$ , but replaced  $\mu_j$  in  $\mu_{j,0}$ .

**Proof.** According to Lemma 8.6 from [1] there exists a domain

$$G_u \subset G(u = \zeta_j r(x), \zeta_j > 0, j \in e_n, r(x) = \rho(x, \partial G), x \in G).$$

Assume that  $|\gamma_j| < u_j$ ,  $j \in e_n$ , then for any  $x \in G_u$  the segment connecting the points  $x, x + \gamma$  is contained in  $G$ . Consequently, for all the points of this segment, identity (2.4) with the same kernels are valid. After same transformations, from (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | \Delta(\gamma, G)D^v f(x) | \leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-1-v_j} \\
 & \times \int_0^{|\gamma_1^e|} \dots \int_0^{|\gamma_n^e|} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-2-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi'_j(t_j) \\
 & \times \int_{R^n} | M_e^{(v)} \left( \frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t^e + T^{e'}, x))}{3\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})} \right) | \\
 & \times | \Delta(\gamma, G)f_e(x + y) | dy dt^e + C^2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(T_j))^{-2-v_j} \\
 & \times \prod_{j \in e_n} |\gamma_j| \int_{|\gamma_1^e|}^{T_1^e} \dots \int_{|\gamma_n^e|}^{T_n^e} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-3-v_j} \prod_{j \in e} \varphi'_j(t_j) \\
 & \times \int_{R^n} | M_e^{(v+1)} \left( \frac{y}{\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})}, \frac{\rho(\varphi(t^e + T^{e'}, x))}{3\varphi(t^e + T^{e'})} \right) | \\
 & \times \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 | f_e(x + y + \vartheta, \omega_1, \gamma_1 + \dots + \omega_n \gamma_n) | dt^e d\omega dy dz \\
 & = C^1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} E_{|\gamma|}^e(x, \gamma) + C^2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} E_{|\gamma|, T}^e(x, \gamma), \tag{2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < T_j \leq \{1, t_{0,j}\}$ ,  $j \in e_n$ . We also assume that  $|\gamma_j| < T_j (j \in e_n)$ . Consequently,  $|\gamma_j| < \min(u_j, T_j) (j \in e_n)$ . If  $x \in G \setminus G_u$ , then by definition

$$\Delta(\gamma, G)D^v f(v) = 0.$$

From (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| \Delta(\gamma, G)D^v f \|_{q,G} & \leq C^1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \| E_{|\gamma}^e(\cdot, \gamma) \|_{q,G_u} \\
 & + C^2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \| E_{|\gamma, T}^e(\cdot, \gamma) \|_{q,G_u}. \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

By means of inequality (1.7), for  $U = G$ ,  $\eta_j = |\gamma_j| (j \in e)$  we have

$$\| E_{|\gamma|}^e(\cdot, \gamma) \|_{q, G_\omega} \leq C_1 \left\| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) f \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta} \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|))^{\mu_j}, \quad (2.11)$$

and by means of inequality (1.8) for  $U = G$ ,  $\eta_j = |\gamma_j|$  ( $j \in e_n$ ) we get

$$\| E_{|\gamma|, T}(\cdot, \gamma) \|_{q, G_\omega} \leq C_2 \left\| \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(t_j))^{-l_j} \delta^{m^e}(\varphi(t)) f \right\|_{p, \varphi, \beta} \prod_{j \in e'} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|)) \prod_{j \in e} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|))^{\mu_j - 1}. \quad (2.12)$$

From inequalities (2.10)-(2.12) we get the required inequality.

Now suppose that  $|\gamma_j| \geq \min(u_j T_j)$ , ( $j \in e_n$ ), then

$$\| \Delta(\gamma, G) D^v f \|_{q, G} \leq 2 \| D^v f \|_{q, G} \leq C(uT) \| D^v f \|_{q, G} \prod_{j \in e_n} (\varphi_j(|\gamma_j|))^{\sigma_j}.$$

Estimating for  $\| D^v f \|_{q, G}$  by means of inequality (2.1), we get estimation (2.9).

Theorem 2.2 is proved.

### References

- [1] O. V. Besov, V. P. Ilyin and S. M. Nikolskii, Integral Representations of Functions and Embedding Theorems Nauka, Moscow (1996), 480.
- [2] A. Eroglu, J. V. Azizov and V. S. Guliyev, Fractional maximal operator and its commutators in generalized Morrey spaces on Heisenberg group, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. National Acad. Sci. 44(2) (2018), 304-317.
- [3] V. S. Guliev and A. M. Najafov, The imbedding theorems on the Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey type space, (English summary) Progress in Analysis, Vol. I, II (Berlin, 2001), 23-30, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [4] V. P. Ilyin, On some properties of functions from spaces  $W_{p, a, e}^l(G)$ , Zap. Nauchn. Sem LOMI AN SSSR 23 (1971), 33-40.
- [5] L. Sh. Kadimova and R. E. Kerbalayeva, On smoothness of solution for the higher-order partial differential equations, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. Mathematics 39(1) (2019), 73-82.
- [6] V. Kokilashvili, Meskhi and H. Rafeiro, Sublinear operators in generalized weighted Morrey spaces, Dokl. Math. 94(2) (2016), 558-560.

- [7] A. I. Mazzucato, Besov-Morrey spaces. Function space theory and applications to non-linear PDE, Transactions of the AMS 355(4) (2002), 1297-1364.
- [8] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 126-166.
- [9] C. B. Morrey, Second order elliptic equations in several variables and Holder continuity, Math. Zet. 72(2) (1959), 146-164.
- [10] A. M. Najafov, On Some properties of functions in the Sobolev-Morrey type spaces  $W_{p,a,x,\tau}^l(G)$ , Siberian Mathematical Journal 46(3) (2005), 501-513.
- [11] A. M. Najafov, Some families of functional spaces and embedding theorems, Proc. Ins. Math. and Mech. 16 (2002), 114-121.
- [12] A. M. Najafov, Some properties of functions from the intersection of Besov-Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst 139 (2005), 71-82.
- [13] A. M. Najafov, On some properties of the functions from Sobolev-Morrey type spaces, Central European Journal of Mathematics 3(3) (2005), 496-507.
- [14] A. M. Najafov, The embedding theorems of space  $W_{p,\varphi,\beta}^l(G)$ , Mathematica Aeterna 3(4) (2013), 299-308.
- [15] E. Nakai, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces, Math. Nachr. 166 (1994), 95-103.
- [16] Yu. V. Netrusov, On some imbedding theorems of Besov-Morrey type, Zap. Nauchn. Sem LOMI AN SSSR 139 (1984), 139-147.
- [17] J. Ross, A Morrey-Nicolskii inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 97-102.
- [18] N. R. Rustamova and A. M. Gasymova, Integral representations of functions from the spaces  $S_p^l W(G)$ ,  $S_{p,\theta}^l B(G)$  and  $S_{p,\theta}^l F(G)$ , Caspian J. Appl. Math. Ecol. and Econ. 6(1) (2018), 93-102.
- [19] Y. Sawano, Identification of the image of Morrey spaces by the fractional integral operators, Proc. of A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 149 (2009), 87-93.
- [20] Xu Jingshi, A characterization of Morrey type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Vietnam J. Math. 33(4) (2005), 369-379.