

TOTALLY MAGIC CORDIAL LABELING ON ZERO DIVISOR GRAPHS

A. LOURDUSAMY, E. VERONISHA and F. JOY BEAULA

Abstract

A function $g: V \cup E \to \{0, 1\}$ such that $g(a) + g(b) + g(ab) = c \pmod{2}$ for all $ab \in E(G)$ and $|m_g(0) - m_g(1)| \le 1$, where $m_g(i)(i = 0, 1)$ is the sum of the number of vertices and edges with label *i* and *c* is the constant is called totally magic cordial labeling (TMC). Here, we prove that $\Omega(Z_{2p}), \Omega(Z_{3p}), \Omega(Z_{4p}), \Omega(Z_{pq})$, join of two zero-divisor graphs and product of zerodivisor graphs are TMC.

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple, finite and undirected graph. Since then many different types of graph labeling techniques have been investigated and over 2000 papers have been published in this area. The reader can refer to Gallian [4] for a survey of labeling.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78, 05C25.

Keywords: Totally magic cordial graphs, Labeling, Zero divisor graphs, Bipartite graphs. Received February 3, 2022; Accepted March 2, 2022

6828 A. LOURDUSAMY, E. VERONISHA and F. JOY BEAULA

Definition 1.1. A function $g: V \cup E \to \{0, 1\}$ such that $g(a) + g(b) + g(ab) \equiv c \pmod{2}$ for all $ab \in E(G)$ and $|m_g(0) - m_g(1)| \leq 1$, where $m_g(i)(i = 0, 1)$ is the sum of the number of vertices and edges with label *i* and *c* is the constant is called totally magic cordial labelling (TMC). A graph with a totally magic cordial labeling is called a totally magic cordial graph.

Beck [3] introduced zero-divisor graph. Then Anderson and Livingston [1] modified it.

Definition 1.2. Take C to be a commutative ring with non-zero identity. Let D(C) be set of all zero-divisors in C. Let $D^*(C) = D(C) \setminus \{0\}$. Now $\Omega(C)$ the zero-divisor graph of C, is the simple undirected graph with vertex set $D^*(C)$ and the edges are defined as xy where x and y are distinct vertices such that xy = 0.

Many researchers are interested in the structure of zero-divisor graph. More specifically many authors studied about connectedness, diameter, girth, domination, Eulerian and Hamiltonian nature of it. Tamizh Chelvam et al. [13] proposed graph labeling related to zero-divisors in a commutative ring. More specifically, they obtained certain labeling for certain class of zerodivisor graphs corresponding to finite rings.

Based on these concepts, we give several results on TMC for zero-divisor graphs. Here we study the TMC of $\Omega(Z_{2p})$, $\Omega(Z_{3p})$, $\Omega(Z_{4p})$, $\Omega(Z_{pq})$, join of zero-divisor graphs and product of zero-divisor graphs.

Definition 1.3. The join $G_1 + G_2$, of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is defined as follows: $V(G_1 + G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 + G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}.$

2. TMC on Zero-Divisor Graphs

Theorem 2.1. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p})$ is TMC.

Proof. Usual notation $\Omega(Z_{2p})$ is the zero divisor graph of Z_{2p} , where the prime number p satisfies p > 2. Clearly $D^*(Z_{2p}) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\}$.

Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, Volume 21, Issue 12, October 2022

Let $V(\Omega(Z_{2p})) = \{v_1, ..., v_{p-1}, v_p\}$ and $E(\Omega(Z_{2p})) = \{v_i v_p : 1 \le i \le p-1\}.$ Note that, $|V(\Omega(Z_{2p}))| = p$ and $|E(\Omega(Z_{2p}))| = p-1.$

We define $g: V(\Omega(Z_{2p})) \cup E(\Omega(Z_{2p})) \to \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

- $g(v_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(v_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(v_p) = 1,$
- $g(v_i v_p) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(v_i v_p) = 0$ where *i* is even and $1 \le i \le p 1$.

Clearly, $m_g(0) = p - 1$. Also $m_g(1) = p$. Thus, $\Omega(Z_{2p})$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Example 2.1. $D^*(Z_{14}) = \{2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12\}$. The elements are represented by the vertices $v_1 = 2$, $v_2 = 4$, $v_3 = 6$, $v_4 = 8$, $v_5 = 10$, $v_6 = 12$ and $v_7 = 7$. A TMC labeling of $\Omega(Z_{14})$ is given in Figure 1.



Figure 1.

Theorem 2.2. If p is a prime number with $p \ge 2$, then $\Omega(Z_{3p})$ is TMC.

Proof. Here $\Omega(Z_{3p})$ is the zero divisor graph of Z_{3p} , where p is prime.

Case 1. p = 2

 $D^*(Z_6) = \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then the graph $\Omega(Z_6)$ is a path on three vertices. Obviously, it is TMC.

Case 2. If p = 3, $D^*(Z_9) = \{3, 6\}$. Then the graph $\Omega(Z_9)$ is a path on two vertices. Obviously, it is TMC.

Case 3. *p* > 3

Then $D^*(Z_{3p}) = \{p, 2p\} \cup \{3, 6, ..., 3(p-1)\} = \{u_1, u_2\} \cup \{v_1, ..., v_{p-1}\}.$ Also, the edge set is $E(\Omega(Z_{3p})) = \{u_1v_i, u_2v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1\}.$ Therefore, $|V(\Omega(Z_{3p}))| = p+1$ and $|E(\Omega(Z_{3p}))| = 2p-2.$

We define the labeling $g: V(\Omega(Z_{3p})) \cup E(\Omega(Z_{3p})) \to \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

 $g(u_1) = 1, g(u_2) = 0,$

 $g(v_i) = 1$ where $1 \le i \le p - 1$ with *i* be odd;

 $g(v_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_1v_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_2v_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_2v_i) = 0$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_2v_i) = 1$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$.

Clearly, $m_g(0) = m_g(1) = (3p-1)/2$. Hence, $\Omega(Z_{3p})$ for $p \ge 2$ is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 2.3. If p is a prime number with $p \ge 2$, then $\Omega(Z_{4p})$ is TMC.

Proof. Here $\Omega(Z_{4p})$ be a zero divisor graph of Z_{4p} , where p is prime.

Case 1. p = 2

 $D^*(Z_8) = \{2, 4, 6\}$. The graph $\Omega(Z_8)$ is a path on three vertices. So it is TMC.

Case 2. $p \ge 3$

We partition the vertex set of $\Omega(Z_{4p})$ as $V_1 = \{p, 2p, 3p\} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $V_2 = \{2, 4, \dots, 2(p-1), 2(p+1), \dots, 2(2p-1)\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p-1}, v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{2p-1}\}$. The edge set is $E(\Omega(Z_{4p})) = \{u_1v_2, u_1v_4, \dots, u_1v_{p-1}, u_1v_{p+1}, \dots, u_1v_{2p-2}, u_2v_1, u_2v_2, u_2v_3, \dots, u_2v_{p-1}, u_2v_{p+1}, \dots, u_2v_{2p-1}, u_3v_2, u_3v_2, u_3v_4, \dots, u_3v_{p-1}, u_3v_{p+1}, \dots, u_3v_{2p-2}\}$. Therefore, $|V(\Omega(Z_{4p}))| = 2p + 1$ and $|E(\Omega(Z_{4p}))| = 4p - 4$.

Define $g: V(\Omega(Z_{4p})) \cup E(\Omega(Z_{4p})) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as:

- $g(u_1) = 1$,
- $g(u_2) = 1$,
- $g(u_3)=0,$
- $g(v_i) = 0$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(v_i) = 1$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(v_i) = 1$ where *i* is even with $p + 1 \le i \le 2p 1$,
- $g(v_i) = 0$ where *i* is odd with $p + 1 \le i \le 2p 1$,
- $g(u_1v_i) = 1$, where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le 2p 2$,
- $g(u_3v_i) = 0$, where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le 2p 2$,
- $g(u_2v_i) = 0$, where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(u_2v_i) = 0$, where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(u_2v_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $p+1 \le i \le 2p-1$,
- $g(u_2v_i) = 0$ where *i* is odd with $p + 1 \le i \le 2p 1$.

Clearly, $m_g(0) = 3p - 2$ and $m_g(1) = 3p - 1$. Hence, $\Omega(Z_{4p})$ for $p \ge 2$ is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 2.4. Let p and q be two distinct primes with p > 2 and p < q. Then the zero divisor graph $\Omega(Z_{pq})$ is TMC.

Proof. $V(\Omega(Z_{pq}))$ is partitioned into V_1 and V_2 where

 $V_1 = \{p, 2p, 3p, \dots, (q-1)p\} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{q-1}\}$ and

$$V_2 = \{q, 2q, 3q, \dots, (p-1)q\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p-1}\}.$$

The edge set is $E((Z_{pq})) = \{v_j u_i : u_i \in V_1 \text{ and } v_j \in V_2, 1 \le j \le p-1, 1 \le q-1\}.$

Therefore, $|V(\Omega(Z_{qp}))| = (q-1) + (p-1) = p + q - 2$ and $|E(\Omega(Z_{4p}))| = (q-1)(p-1).$

Define $g: V(\Omega(Z_{pq})) \cup E(\Omega(Z_{pq})) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

 $g(v_j) = 1$ where j is odd with $1 \le j \le p - 1$,

 $g(v_i) = 0$ where j is even with $1 \le j \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le q - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le q - 1$,

for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq q-1$,

 $g(v_i u_i) = 1$ where *i* and *j* are odd;

 $g(v_j u_i) = 0$ where *i* is odd with *j* is even;

 $g(v_i u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with *j* is odd;

 $g(v_i u_i) = 1$ where *i* and *j* are even.

Clearly $m_g(0) = m_g(1) = (pq - 1)/2$. So $\Omega(Z_{pq})$ for p < q is TMC with c = 1.

3. TMC on Join of Zero-Divisor Graphs

Theorem 3.1. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_4)$ is *TMC*.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_4)$. Then $V(G) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\}$ $\bigcup \{x : x = 2 \in Z_4\} = \{u_1, ..., u_p, x\}$ and $E(G) = \{u_i u_p, u_i x, u_p x : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Note that, |V(G)| = p+1 and |E(G)| = 2p-1.

The function $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is:

$$g(u_p) = 0, g(x) = 1,$$

 $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i u_p) = 0$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i u_p) = 1$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i x) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i x) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_p x) = 0.$

Note that, $m_g(0) = (3p+1)/2$ and $m_g(1) = (3p+1)/2$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_4)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.2. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_9)$ is *TMC*.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_9)$. The vertex set $V(G) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\} \cup \{x, y : x = 3, y = 6 \in Z_9\} = \{u_1, ..., u_p, x, y\}$. The edge set is $E(G) = \{u_i u_p, u_i x, u_i y, u_p x, u_p y, xy : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Therefore, |V(G)| = p + 2 and |E(G)| = 3p.

The mapping $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is:

 $g(x) = 1, g(y) = 1, g(u_p) = 0,$

 $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i u_p) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i u_p) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i x) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i x) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i y) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i y) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i y) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_p x) = 0, f(u_p y) = 0, f(xy) = 1.$

From the above labeling, we get $m_g(0) = m_g(1) = 2p + 1$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_9)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.3. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_6)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_6)$. Let $V(G) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\}$ $\cup \{x, y, z : x = 2, y = 3, z = 4 \in Z_6\} = \{u_1, ..., u_p, x, y, z\}$ and $E(G) = \{u_i u_p, u_i x, u_p x, u_i y, u_p y, u_i z, u_p z, xy, yz : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Therefore, |V(G)| = p + 3 and |E(G)| = 4p + 1.

Define $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

 $g(u_p) = 1, g(x) = 1, g(y) = 0, g(z) = 0,$

 $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i u_p) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i u_p) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i x) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i x) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

$$g(u_i y) = 0$$
 where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

$$g(u_i y) = 1$$
 where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

$$g(u_i z) = 0$$
 where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

$$g(u_i z) = 1$$
 where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

$$g(u x) = 1, g(u y) = 0, g(u z) = 0, g(yz) = 1, g(xy) = 0.$$

Observe that, $m_g(0) = (5p+5)/2$ and $m_g(1) = (5p+3)/2$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_6)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Corollary 3.1. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\overline{\Omega(Z_{2p})} + \Omega(Z_4)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_4)$. Clearly G is isomorphic to the graph $\Omega(Z_{2p})$. Hence |V(G)| = 2n + 4 and |E(G)| = 6n. By using the labeling given in Theorem 2.1, it is easy to verify that $|m_g(0) - m_g(1)| \le 1$. Hence $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_4)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.4. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_6)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_6)$. The vertex set is $V(G) = \{u_1, ..., u_{p-1}\} \cup \{x, y, z : x = 2, y = 3, z = 4 \in Z_6\}$ = $\{u_1, ..., u_{p-1}, x, y, z\}$. The edge set is $E(G) = \{u_i x, u_i y, u_i z, xy, yz : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Therefore, |V(G)| = p + 2 and |E(G)| = 3p - 1.

The map $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is:

- g(x) = 1, g(y) = 1, g(z) = 0,
- $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(u_i x) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p 1$,
- $g(u_i x) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p 1$,

$$g(u_i y) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g(u_i y) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g(u_i z) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g(u_i z) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g(xy) = 1, g(yz) = 0.$$

Clearly, $m_g(0) = 2p$ and $m_g(1) = 2p + 1$. Hence $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_6)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.5. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_9)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_9)$. Let $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_{p-1}\} \cup \{x, y : x = 3, y = 6 \in Z_9\} = \{u_1, \dots, u_{p-1}, x, y\}$ and $E(G) = \{u_ix, u_iy, xy : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Therefore, |V(G)| = p+1 and |E(G)| = 2p-1.

Define $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

g(x) = 1, g(y) = 0, $g(u_i) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_i) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_ix) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_ix) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_iy) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ is odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_iy) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_iy) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ is even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$ $g(u_iy) = 0.$

Note that, $m_g(0) = (3p+1)/2$ and $m_g(1) = (3p+1)/2$. Hence $\Omega(Zp^2) + \Omega(Z_9)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.6. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Zp^2) + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$. Then G is a graph obtained from the graph $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_6)$ by deleting the edges xy and yz and adding an edge xz. By using the labeling given in Theorem 3.4 and g(xy) = 0, we get $m_g(0) = 2p$ and $m_g(1) = 2p$. Hence $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.7. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$ is TMC.

Proof. Let $G = \overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$. Then G is isomorphic to a graph obtained from the graph $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \Omega(Z_9)$ by deleting an edge xy. By using the labeling given in Theorem 3.5, we get $m_g(0) = (3p-1)/2$ and $m_g(1) = (3p-1)/2$. Hence $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.8. If p is a prime number with $p \ge 3$ and $m \ge 1$ is an integer, the join graph $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + m\Omega(Z_4)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $\overline{G = \Omega(Zp^2)} + m\Omega(Z_4)$. Take $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{p-1}, v_1, v_2, ..., v_m\}$ and $E(G) = \{u_i v_j : 1 \le i \le p-1, 1 \le j \le m\}$. Therefore, |V(G)| = p + m - 1 and |E(G)| = m(p-1).

Define $g: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

 $g(u_i) = 1$ where *i* is odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(u_i) = 0$ where *i* is even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g(v_j) = 1$ where j is odd with $1 \le j \le m - 1$,

 $g(v_i) = 0$ where j is even with $1 \le j \le m - 1$,

for $1 \le i \le p-1$ and $1 \le j \le m-1$,

 $g(v_i v_j) = 1$ where *i* and *j* are odd;

 $g(u_i v_j) = 0$ where *i* odd with *j* even;

 $g(u_i v_i) = 0$ where *i* even with j odd;

 $g(u_i v_j) = 1$ where *i* and *j* are even.

Table 1. $p \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

Nature of <i>m</i>	$m_g(0)$	$m_g(1)$
$m \equiv 1, 5, 3 \pmod{6}$	(p+mp-2)/2	(p + mp)/2
$m \equiv 2, 4, 0 \pmod{6}$	(p+mp-1)/2	(p + mp - 1)/2

Hence, Table 1 shows that G is TMC with c = 1.

Corollary 3.2. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_4)}$ is TMC.

Proof. Note that, $\overline{\Omega(Z_4)} + \Omega(Z_4)$. By Theorem 3.1, $\overline{\Omega(Zp^2)} + \overline{\Omega(Z_4)}$ is TMC with c = 1.

Corollary 3.3. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_4)}$ is TMC.

Proof. Clearly $\overline{\Omega(Z_4)} + \Omega(Z_4)$. By Theorem 3.1, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_4)}$ is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 3.9. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$. Clearly G is isomorphic to a graph obtained from the graph $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_9)$ by deleting an edge xy. By using the labeling given in Theorem 3.2, we get $m_g(0) = 2p + 1$ and $m_g(1) = 2p$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

4. TMC on Product of two Zero-Divisor Graphs

Theorem 4.1. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then the product graph $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_4)$ is TMC.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_4)$. Then G is isomorphic to the graph $\Omega(Z_{2p})$. By using the labeling given in Theorem 2.1, it is easy to verify that $|m_g(0) - m_g(1)| \le 1$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_4)$ is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 4.2. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_9)$ is *TMC*.

Proof. Take $G = \Omega(Z_{2p})$ and $H = \Omega(Z_9)$. Let $V(G) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\} = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{p-1}, u_p\}$ and $V(H) = \{3, 6\}$ = $\{x, y\}$. Now $V(G \times H) = \{(u_i, x), (u_i, y) : 1 \le i \le p\}$ and $E(G \times H)$ = $\{(u_i, x)(u_i, y), (u_i, x)(u_p, x), (u_i, y), (u_p, y), (u_p, x)(u_p, y) : 1 \le i \le p - 1\}$. Then the number of vertices is 2p and that of edges is 3p - 2.

Define
$$g: V(G) \cup E(G) \to \{0, 1\}$$
 as:
 $g(u_p, y) = 0, f(u_p, x) = 0,$
 $g(u_i, x) = 1$ where *i* odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g(u_i, x) = 0$ where *i* even with $1 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g(u_i, y) = 1$ where $i = 1, 2,$
 $g(u_i, y) = 0$ where $3 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) = 1$ where $i = 1,$
 $g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) = 0$ where $i = 2,$
 $g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) = 0$ where *i* odd with $3 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) = 1$ where *i* even with $3 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) = 1$ where *i* odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1,$
 $g((u_p, x)(u_i, x)) = 0$ where *i* odd with $1 \le i \le p - 1,$

$$g((u_p, x)(u_i, x)) = 1$$
 where *i* even with $1 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g((u_p, y)(u_i, y)) = 0$ where i = 1, 2,

$$g((u_p, y)(u_i, y)) = 1$$
 where $3 \le i \le p - 1$,

 $g((u_p, x)(u_p, y)) = 1.$

Clearly $m_g(0) = (5p-1)/2$ and $m_g(1) = (5p-1)/2$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_9)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

Theorem 4.3. If p is a prime number with p > 2, then the product graph $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_6)$ is TMC.

Proof. Let $G = \Omega(Z_{2p})$ and $H = \Omega(Z_6)$. Let $V(G) = \{2, 4, ..., 2(p-1), p\} = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{p-1}, u_p\}$ and $V(H) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ $\{x, y, z\}$. Then $V(G \times H) = \{(u_i, x), (u_i, y), (u_i, z) : 1 \le i \le p\}$ and $E(G \times H)$ $= \{(u_i, x)(u_i, y), (u_i, y)(u_i, z), (u_i, x)(u_p, x), (u_i, y)(u_p, y), (u_i, z)(u_p, z), (u_i, x)(u_p, y), (u_i, y), (u_p, z) : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. Therefore, $|V(G \times H)| = 3p$ and $|E(G \times H)| = 5p - 3$. Define $g : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} g(u_p, y) &= 0, \ g(u_p, x) = 0, \ (u_p, z) = 1, \\ g(u_i, x) &= 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g(u_i, x) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g(u_i, y) &= 1 \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g(u_i, z) &= 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g(u_i, z) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_p, x)(u_p, y)) &= 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, x)(u_i, y)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \\ g((u_i, y)(u_i, z)) &= 0 \text{ where }$$

$$g((u_i, x)(u_i, x)) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g((u_p, x)(u_i, x)) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g((u_p, y)(u_i, y)) = 0 \text{ if } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g((u_p, z)(u_i, z)) = 1 \text{ where } i \text{ odd with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g((u_p, z)(u_i, z)) = 0 \text{ where } i \text{ even with } 1 \le i \le p - 1,$$

$$g((u_p, x)(u_p, y)) = 1, g((u_p, y)(u_p, z)) = 0.$$

Note that, $m_g(0) = 4p - 1$ and $m_g(1) = 4p - 2$. Hence $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_6)$ for p > 2 is TMC with c = 1.

5. Conclusion

We have proved that $\Omega(Z_{2p})$, $\Omega(Z_{3p})$, $\Omega(Z_{4p})$ and $\Omega(Z_{pq})$ are TMC graphs. We have proved that the join graphs $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_4)$, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_9)$, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \Omega(Z_6)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \Omega(Z_4)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \Omega(Z_6)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \Omega(Z_6)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \Omega(Z_6)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \Omega(Z_9)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + m\Omega(Z_4)$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$, $\overline{\Omega(Z_{p^2})} + \overline{\Omega(Z_9)}$, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_4)}$, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$ and $\Omega(Z_{2p}) + \overline{\Omega(Z_6)}$ are TMC graphs. Also, we have proved that $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_4)$, $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_6)$ and $\Omega(Z_{2p}) \times \Omega(Z_9)$ are TMC graphs. We further investigating total 3 sum cordial labeling on zero divisor graphs.

References

- D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra 217 (1999), 434-447.
- [2] T. Asir, K. Mano and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Correction to: Classification of non-local rings with genus two zero divisor graphs, Soft Computing 25 (2021), 3355-3356.
- [3] I. Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra 116 (1988), 208-226.
- [4] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, The Electronic J. Combin., #DS6 (1) (2018).
- P. Jeyanthi and N. A. Benseera, Totally magic cordial deficiency of some graphs, Utilitas Mathematica 105 (2017), 87-94.

6842 A. LOURDUSAMY, E. VERONISHA and F. JOY BEAULA

- [6] G. Kalaimurugan, P. Vignesh and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications 19(12) (2020), 2050226.
- [7] A. Lourdusamy and S. Jenifer Wency, Several result on SD-prime cordial graphs, Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science 10(2) (2019), 309-315.
- [8] A. Lourdusamy, E. Veronisha and F. Joy Beaula, Total 3-sum cordial labeling on zero divisor graphs, (Submitted for Publication).
- J. Periaswamy, N. Selvi and J. Ravi Sankar, Pair sum labelings of zero divisor graphs, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 115(2) (2017), 387-394.
- [10] J. Periaswamy and N. Selvi, Pair sum labelings of trees in zero divisor graphs, Journal of emerging technologies and innovative Research 5(7) (2018), 90-96.
- [11] Sarifa khatun and Sk. Md. Abu Nayeem, Graceful labeling of some zero divisor graphs, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 63 (2017), 189-196.
- [12] T. T. Chelvam and C. Subramanian, Sum cordial labeling of zero-divisor graphs, International Journal of Mathematical Archive 9(2) (2018), 146-151.
- [13] T. T. Chelvam and C. Subramanian, Signed product cordial labeling of zero-divisor graphs, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics, Special 1 (2018), 164-169.