



A NOTE ON MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH (p, q) -RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE

P. NANDINI and S. LATHA

Department of Mathematics
JSS Academy of Technical Education
Srinivaspura, Bengaluru 560060, India
E-mail: pnandinimaths@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics
Yuvaraja's College
University of Mysore
Mysore 570005, India
E-mail: drlatha@gmail.com

Abstract

In this article, a new subclass of meromorphic functions is introduced using (p, q) -Ruscheweyh derivative and we discuss the properties like convolution, closure, convex combinations and neighborhood for the functions belonging to this subclass.

1. Introduction

The quantum calculus has been broadly studied and has applications in several fields of mathematics, physics and engineering. Further, motivated and inspired by these applications, many mathematicians and physicist have developed the theory of post quantum calculus, an extension of the quantum calculus and is designated as (p, q) -calculus. The recent interest in the subject is due to the fact that the post quantum calculus has popped in such diverse fields as quantum algebra, number theory etc.

The (p, q) - derivative of a function f [3] defined as

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Regular and Meromorphic function, q -Ruscheweyh operator and (p, q) - derivative.

Received March 2, 2020; Accepted March 5, 2021

$$D_{p,q}(f(z)) = \frac{f(pz) - f(qz)}{(p-q)z}, \quad z \neq 0, \quad p \neq q, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the collection of all meromorphic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \alpha_r z^r, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}^* \quad (1.1)$$

which are regular in punctured open unit disc $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D} - \{0\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. Then

$$D_{p,q}(f(z)) = -\frac{1}{pqz^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} [r]_{p,q} \alpha_r z^{r-1},$$

where $[r]_{p,q} = \frac{p^r - q^r}{p - q}$.

Definition 1.1. Let f be as in (1.1) and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_r z^r$, then the Hadamard product of f and g is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = (g * f)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \alpha_r b_r z^r.$$

Now we define a (p, q) -derivative operator $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}(f(z)) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z) = \mathcal{R}_{p,q}^m f(z) * \mu_{p,q}^n f(z) = \frac{(-1)^n}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \alpha_r z^r,$$

$$n, m \in \mathcal{N}U\{0\}, \quad 0 < q < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p \neq q. \quad (1.2)$$

Where $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}^m$ represent (p, q) -Ruschewyh derivative operator and is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q}^m(f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{[m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \alpha_r z^r, \quad m \in \mathcal{N}$$

and

$$[r]_{p,q}! = \begin{cases} [r]_{p,q}[r-1]_{p,q} \cdots [1]_{p,q}, & r = 2, 3, \dots \\ 1, & r = 1 \end{cases}$$

we define a new derivative operator $\mu_{p,q}^n f(z)$ as

$$\mu_{p,q}^n f(z) = p^n q^n z D_{p,q}(S_{p,q}^{n-1} f(z)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n a_r z^r, \quad (n \in \mathcal{N})$$

where $S_{p,q}^n$ represent (p, q) -Salagean differential operator and is given by

$$S_{p,q}^n f(z) = \frac{(-1)^n}{p^n q^n z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} [r]_{p,q}^n a_r z^r.$$

Therefore

$$D_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z)) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{pqz^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^{n+1} [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^{r-1}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}^*. \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.2.

(1) When $n = 0, p = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in (1.2), we get Ruscheweyh derivative of $f(z)$ [5].

(2) When $n = 0, p = 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}$ is reduced to the class \mathcal{L}_q^m introduced by Bakhtiar Ahmad and Muhammad Arif [2].

(3) When $m = 0, p = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in (1.2), we obtain Salagean derivative operator [6].

Now, we define a subclass $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ of regular meromorphic function on \mathcal{D}^* as follows.

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ as in (1.1) $n, m \in \mathcal{N}U\{0\}$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ of meromorphic starlike of order β , if it obeys the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{-pqz D_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z))}{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z)} \right\} \geq \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}^*.$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ as in (1.1); $n, m \in \mathcal{NU}\{0\}$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |a_r| \leq 1 - \beta. \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ then by the definition (1.3), we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{-pqz D_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z))}{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m} f(z)} \right\} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}^*.$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n+1} q^{n+1} [r]_{p,q}^{n+1} [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^{r+1}}{1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^{r+1}} \right\} \geq \beta,$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we get

$$1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n+1} q^{n+1} [r]_{p,q}^{n+1} [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r \geq \beta + \beta \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r$$

on simplification we get,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |a_r| \leq 1 - \beta.$$

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{M}$ as in (1.1) and the inequality (1.4) holds for all $z \in \mathcal{D}^*$ we will prove that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ for $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $n \in \mathcal{NU}\{0\}$, i.e., we need to prove that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{-pqzD_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z))}{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z)} \right\} \geq \beta.$$

using the condition that $\Re(w) \geq \beta$ if $|1 - \beta + \omega| \geq |1 + \beta - \omega|$, it is enough to prove that $|\chi(z)| - |\psi(z)| \geq 0$, where $\chi(z) = (1 - \beta)(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z) - pqzD_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z)))$ and $\psi(z) = (1 + \beta)(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z) + pqzD_{p,q}(\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{n,m}f(z)))$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\chi(z)| - |\psi(z)| \\ &= \left| \frac{(2 - \beta)}{z} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} - 1 + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m + r + 1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r + 1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^r \right| \\ & - \left| \frac{\beta}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + 1 + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m + r + 1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r + 1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^r \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{(2 - 2\beta)}{z} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} 2(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m + r + 1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r + 1]_{p,q}!} a_r z^r \right| \\ &\geq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{|z|} \left(1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)}{1 - \beta} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m + r + 1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r + 1]_{p,q}!} |a_r| |z^{r+1}| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{|z|} \left(1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)}{1 - \beta} \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m + r + 1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r + 1]_{p,q}!} |a_r| \right) \\ &\geq 0, \text{ by (1.4).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 1.5. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r \leq \frac{[2]_{p,q}(1 - \beta)}{(pq + \beta)p^n q^n [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q}}, \beta \in [0, 1).$$

Theorem 1.6. *If $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m), \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$, where*

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_{r,j} z^r, \quad a_{r,j} \geq 0$$

then

$$\alpha_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} b_r z^r \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m), \quad b_r \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_r = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} a_{r,j}.$$

Proof. By the Theorem (1.4), $\alpha_j(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |b_r| \leq 1 - \beta$$

consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |b_r| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} |a_{r,j}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |a_{r,j}| \end{aligned}$$

since $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, $\forall j = 1, 2, \lambda$, then by the Theorem (1.4), we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} |b_r| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (1 - \beta) = (1 - \beta)$$

which implies $\alpha_j(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$ and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.7. If $f \in \mathcal{M}$ be given by (1.1), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r f_r(z),$$

where $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, $f_r(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \left(\frac{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)}{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}! (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)} \right) z^r$,

$r = 1, 2, \dots$; $\omega_r \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r = 1$.

Proof. Given

$$f(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r f_r = \omega_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \omega_r f_r(z)$$

$$= \frac{\omega_0}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \omega_r \left(\frac{1}{z} + \left(\frac{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)}{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}! (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)} \right) z^r \right)$$

by theorem (1.1),

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) \frac{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!}$$

$$\left(\frac{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)}{p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}! (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)} \right) \omega_r$$

$$= (1-\beta) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \omega_r = (1-\beta)(1-\omega_0) \leq 1-\beta,$$

therefore $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$.

Conversely, assume that $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, put

$$\omega_r = \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)} a_r; \omega_r \in [0, 1]$$

then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r z^r = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)}{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!} \omega_r z^r$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\omega_0}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)}{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!} z^r \right) \omega_r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \omega_r f_r(z). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.8. If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r z^r$ and $h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r z^r$ are in the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, then $(f * h)(z)$ is also belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\rho, n, m)$, where

$$\rho = \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}! - pq[r]_{p,q} (1-\beta)^2 [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta)^2 [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! + (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}.$$

Proof. As f and g are in $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)} a_r \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{[m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}! (1-\beta)} c_r \leq 1.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \sqrt{a_r c_r} \leq 1 \quad (1.5)$$

to prove that $f(z) * g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\rho, n, m)$, we need to determine the greatest ρ so that,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \rho) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\rho) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r c_r \leq 1$$

so, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \rho)p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\rho)[m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}!} a_r c_r \\ & \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta)[m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}!} \sqrt{a_r c_r} \leq 1 \\ & \Rightarrow \sqrt{a_r c_r} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho)(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)}{(1-\beta)(pq[r]_{p,q} + \rho)} \end{aligned}$$

from (1.5);

$$\sqrt{a_r c_r} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)[m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}!}{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-\beta)[m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}!}{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!} \leq \frac{(1-\rho)(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)}{(1-\beta)(pq[r]_{p,q} + \rho)} \\ & \Rightarrow \rho \leq \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}! - pq[r]_{p,q} (1-\beta)^2 [m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta)^2 [m]_{p,q}![r+1]_{p,q}! + (pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.9. Let $f(z)$ be given by (1.1) and $h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r z^r$ are both belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, then

$$\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (a_e^2 + c_r^2) z^r \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\xi, n, m),$$

where

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{2(1-\beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}!(1+pq)}{(pq + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [m+1]_{p,q} [m+2]_{p,q} + 2(1-\beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}!}.$$

Proof. To prove that $\gamma(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\xi, n, m)$, we need to determine the greatest ξ , so that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \xi) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\xi) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} (a_r^2 + c_r^2) \leq 1$$

As $f(z), h(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\xi, n, m)$, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \right\}^2 a_r^2 \leq 1 \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \right\}^2 c_r^2 \leq 1 \quad (1.7)$$

summing (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \right\}^2 (a_r^2 + c_r^2) \leq 1$$

but $\gamma(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\xi, n, m)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \xi) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\xi) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} (a_r^2 + c_r^2) \leq 1$$

which is possible only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \xi) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\xi) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta) p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!}{(1-\beta) [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!} \right\}^2 \\ & \frac{1-\xi}{pq[r]_{p,q} + \xi} \geq \frac{2(1-\beta)^2 [m]_{p,q}! [r+1]_{p,q}!}{(pq[r]_{p,q} + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [r]_{p,q}^n [m+r+1]_{p,q}!} = \eta(r) \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(r)$ is a non-increasing function of attains a maximum at $r = 1$ and

the maximum value $\eta(1) = \frac{2(1 - \beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}!}{(pq + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q}}$ hence

$$\frac{1 - \xi}{pq + \xi} \geq \frac{2(1 - \beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}!}{(pq + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q}}$$

on simplification, we get

$$\xi \leq 1 - \frac{2(1 - \beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}! (1 + pq)}{(pq + \beta)^2 p^n q^n [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q} + 2(1 - \beta)^2 [2]_{p,q}!}. \quad \square$$

Definition 1.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then the ρ -neighbourhood of f is defined as

$$N_\rho(f) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}; g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{r=1}^\infty g_r z^r \text{ and } \sum_{r=1}^\infty r |a_r - g_r| \leq \rho, \rho \in [0, 1) \right\}.$$

Definition 1.11. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then f is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}^{\epsilon*}(\beta, n, m)$, if $\exists g \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^{\epsilon*}(\beta, n, m)$, satisfying

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \epsilon, z \in \mathcal{D}^*, \epsilon \in [0, 1).$$

Theorem 1.12. Let $g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, and

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\rho p^n q^n (pq + \beta) [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q}}{(pq + \beta) p^n q^n [m + 1]_{p,q} [m + 2]_{p,q} - [2]_{p,q} (1 - \beta)}$$

then $N_p(g) \subset \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^{\epsilon*}(\beta, n, m)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in N_p(g)$, then by the definition (1.10);

$$\sum_{r=1}^\infty r |a_r - g_r| \leq \rho \text{ which implies that } \sum_{r=1}^\infty |a_r - g_r| \leq \rho.$$

As $g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$, by the corollary (1.5),

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} g_r \leq \frac{[2]_{p,q}(1-\beta)}{p^n q^n (pq + \beta) [m+1]_{p,q} [m+2]_{p,q}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |a_r - g_r|}{1 - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} g_r} \\ &\leq \frac{\rho p^n q^n (pq + \beta) [m+1]_{p,q} [m+2]_{p,q}}{(pq + \beta) p^n q^n [m+1]_{p,q} [m+2]_{p,q} - [2]_{p,q} (1-\beta)} = 1 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition (1.11); $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}^*(\beta, n, m)$. \square

References

- [1] Abdullah Alsoboh and Maslina Darus, Certain subclass of Meromorphic Functions involving q -Ruscheweyh differential operator, *Transylvanian Journal of Mechanics and Mathematics* 11(1-2) (2019), 10-08.
- [2] Bakhtiar Ahmad and Muhammad Arif, New subfamily of Meromorphic functions in circular domain involving q -operator, *International Journal of Analysis and Applications* 16(1) (2018), 75-82.
- [3] S. Altinkaya and S. Yalcin, Certain classes of Bi-Univalent functions of complex order associated with Quasi-Subordination involving (p, q) -derivative operator, *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics* 44(4) (2020), 639-649.
- [4] S. B. Joshi and D. Sangle, New subclass of univalent functions defined by using generalized Salagean operator, *J. Indones. Math. Soc. (MIHMI)* 15(2) (2009), 79-89.
- [5] Waggas Galib Atshan, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative-I, *Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences* 6(2) (2007), 129-140.
- [6] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture notes in Math*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1983), 362-372.