



## HYBRID TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREM ON GENERALIZED $b$ -METRIC SPACE

SURJEET SINGH CHAUHAN (GONDER) and KANIKA SHARMA

Department of Mathematics (UIS)  
Chandigarh University  
Mohali-140413, India  
E-mail: surjeetschauhan@yahoo.com  
kanusharma64@gmail.com

### Abstract

The objective of the article is to introduce a FPT (fixed point theorem) for self-mapping on generalized  $b$ -metric space. To demonstrate this result, we have used  $F$ -contraction instead of simple contraction. This theorem is an extended version of existing results in  $b$ -metric space.

### 1. Introduction

Banach [4] in 1922 derived FPT for metric space, which is recognized as the well known theorem BCP (Banach Contraction Principle). Frechet [7] defined the notion of metric space in 1906. Many authors have generalized the idea of metric space like metric-like spaces [2],  $b$ -metric spaces [6], partial metric spaces [16], partial  $b$ -metric spaces [15]. In 1989, Bakhtin [3] and Bourbaki [13] gave the theory of  $b$ -metric space. Further, Czerwik [6] interpreted  $b$ -metric space in 1993 along with a perspective of generalization of BCP. Using generalisation of FPT in  $b$ -metric spaces, some authors proved their results on it ([8], [9]). Kamran [10] presented a new type of generalized metric space in 2017. Wardowski [17] gave a significant contraction abbreviated as ' $F$ -contraction' in 2012 and derived a FPT regarding ' $F$ -contraction'. A lot of work has been done by many authors on this theory using the  $F$ -contraction mapping and its extensions ([11], [12], [14]). Further, generalization of  $F$ -contraction was done by many authors and several FP

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37C25; 47H10.

Keywords: generalized  $b$ -metric space,  $F$ -contraction, fixed point,  $b$ -metric space.

Received November 2, 2019; Accepted November 22, 2019

theorems have been proved using  $F$ -contraction in various papers ([1], [14], [5]). Following are some of the definitions used in this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries (concepts and methods)

**Definition 2.1** [7]. A mapping  $h : G \times G \rightarrow R$  is known as a metric where  $G$  be any non-void set if

1.  $h(i', j') > 0$  and  $h(i', j') = 0$  iff  $j' = i'$ , for all  $j', i'$  belongs to  $G$
2.  $h(i', j') = h(j', i') \forall j', i'$  belongs to  $G$
3.  $h(i', j') + h(j', k') \geq h(i', k')$  for all  $k', j', i'$  belongs to  $G$

where  $(G, h)$  be the metric space.

**Definition 2.2** [6]. Suppose  $A$  be a non void set with  $\lambda \geq 1$ , then a mapping  $h^* : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be known as  $b$ -metric, if  $\forall k', j', i'$  belongs to  $A$ :

1.  $h^*(i', j') = 0$  iff  $j' = i'$
2.  $h^*(i', j') = h^*(j', i')$
3.  $h^*(i', k') \leq \lambda[h^*(i', j') + h^*(j', k')]$

where  $(A, (i', j'), \lambda)$  is  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.3** [10] (2014). Consider  $k_b : A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be function is known as an extended  $b$ -metric with  $b : A \times A \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ , if  $\forall j', i', k'$  belongs to  $A$ , we have:

1.  $k_b(i', j') = 0$  iff  $j' = i'$
2.  $k_b(i', j') = k_b(j', i')$
3.  $k_b(i', j') \leq b(j', i')[k_b(i', j') + k_b(j', k')]$

where  $(A, k_b)$  is an extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.4** [17]. Consider a mapping  $E : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  which satisfying,

(F1)  $E$  is strictly increasing.

(F2) For each seq. (sequence)  $\{p_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $p_m = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(p_m) = -\infty$ .

(F3)  $\exists l \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} p^l F(p) = 0$ .

A mapping  $\mathcal{M} : A \rightarrow A$  is known as Wardowski  $F$ -contraction if  $\exists \tau > 0$  such that  $h^*(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{M}_j) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(h^*(\mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{M}_j)) \leq F(h^*(i, j))$  for all  $i, j$  belongs to  $A$ .

### 3. Main Results

The main purpose of the current work is to derive a FPT for self-mapping on the generalized  $b$ -metric space using  $F$ -contraction. Also, in addition to the definition 1.4, we add one more condition on  $F$  i.e.  $F$  is continuous.

**Lemma 3.1.** Consider  $(A, k_b)$  as an extended  $b$ -metric space with a convergence seq.  $\{i'_n\}$  in  $A$  where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i'_n = i'$  then for  $j' \in A$  we have

$$k_b(i', j') \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf k_b(i'_n, j') \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup k_b(i'_n, a) \leq k_b(i', j').$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Consider  $(A, k_b)$  be complete extended  $b$ -metric space and  $\mathcal{M} : A \rightarrow A$  is  $F$ -contraction mapping, then  $\mathcal{M}$  has Picard operator with unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Assume  $i'_0 \in A$ . Consider the sequence  $\{i'_n\}$  where  $i'_n = \mathcal{M}i'_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Denote  $k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1})$  by  $\mu_n$  and let  $\mu_n > 0 \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then, as  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $F$ -contraction mapping  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}i'_n \neq i'_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Then

$$\tau + F(k_b(\mathcal{M}i', \mathcal{M}j')) \leq \alpha [F(k_b(i', \mathcal{M}i')) + F(k_b(i', \mathcal{M}j')) + F(k_b(j', \mathcal{M}j))]. \quad (1)$$

Letting  $i' = i'_n$  and  $j' = i'_n$

$$\mathcal{M}i' = \mathcal{M}i'_{n-1} = i'_n,$$

$$\mathcal{M}j' = \mathcal{M}i'_n = i'_{n+1}.$$

Then Using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau + F(k_b(\mathcal{M}'_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}'_n)) &\leq \alpha[F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}'_{n-1})) \\
&\quad + F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, \mathcal{M}'_n)) + F(k_b(i'_n, \mathcal{M}'_n))] \\
\tau + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1})) &\leq \alpha[F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_n)) \\
&\quad + F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})) + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}))]
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

using Definition 2.3 in (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})) &\leq b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})[F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_n)) + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}))] \\
\tau + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1})) &\leq \alpha[F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_n)) + b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})\{F(k_b(i'_{n-1}, i'_n)) \\
&\quad + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}))\} + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}))].
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}) = \mu_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau + F(\mu_n) &\leq \alpha[F(\mu_{n-1}) + b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})\{F(\mu_{n-1}) + F(\mu_n)\} + F(\mu_n)] \\
\tau + F(\mu_n) &\leq \alpha F(\mu_{n-1}) + \alpha b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})F(\mu_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \alpha b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})F(\mu_n) + \alpha F(\mu_n) \\
F(\mu_n)(1 - \alpha[b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1}) + 1]) &\leq \alpha[1 + b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})]F(\mu_{n-1}) - \tau \\
F(\mu_n) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1}) + 1)]}\{F(\mu_{n-1})[1 + b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})]\} \\
&\quad - \frac{\tau}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1}) + 1)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mu_{n-1}) &\leq \frac{\alpha[1 + b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n) + 1)]}\{F(\mu_{n-2})[1 + b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]\} \\
&\quad - \frac{\tau}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n) + 1)]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting value of  $F(\mu_{n-1})$  in equation (3)

$$F(\mu_n) \leq \frac{\alpha}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1}) + 1)]} \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2[1 + b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1 + b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)][1 + b(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1})]}{[1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n) + 1)][1 - \alpha(b(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1}) + 1)]} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\mu_{n-3}) & - \frac{\tau\alpha[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1+b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)][1-\alpha(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1})+1]}\} - \frac{\tau}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]} \\
 F(\mu_n) & \leq \frac{\alpha^3[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1+b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)][1+b(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1})]}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})+1)][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1})+1)]} \\
 F(\mu_{n-3}) & - \frac{\tau\alpha^2[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1+b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})+1)][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-3}, i'_{n-1})+1)]} \\
 & - \frac{\tau}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]}
 \end{aligned}$$

hence by induction

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\mu_n) & \leq \frac{\alpha^n[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1+b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]\dots[1+b(i'_0, i'_2)]}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})+1)][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]\dots[1-\alpha(b(i'_0, i'_2)+1)]} F(\mu_0) \\
 & - \frac{\tau\alpha^{n-1}[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1+b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)]\dots[1+b(i'_0, i'_2)]}{[1+b(i'_{n-1}, i'_{n+1})][1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]\dots[1-\alpha(b(i'_0, i'_2)+1)]} \\
 & - \frac{\tau n}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]} \\
 F(\mu_n) & \leq \alpha^n F(\mu_0) - \tau\alpha^{n-1} - \frac{\tau n}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]} \\
 F(\mu_n) & \leq \alpha^n F(\mu_0) - \tau\alpha^{n-1} - \frac{\tau n}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]} \\
 & \leq F(\mu_0) - \frac{\tau n}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]}. \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\mu_n) = -\infty$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 0$ .

From condition (F3), there exist  $l \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_n)^l F(\mu_n) = 0$ .

Multiplication of (4) with  $(\mu_n)^l$  yields

$$0 \leq (\mu_n)^l F(\mu_n) + \frac{\tau n}{[1-\alpha(b(i'_{n-2}, i'_n)+1)]} (\mu_n)^l \leq (\mu_n)^l F(\mu_0).$$

For the case  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(\mu_n)^l = 0$ . Using the Theorem 3.2 in [11], it is easy to prove  $\{i'_n\}$  as a Cauchy sequence  $\exists w \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i'_n = \hat{e}$ . Applying lemma (3.1) we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_b(\hat{e}, i'_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup k_b(\hat{e}, i'_n) \leq k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}) = 0.$$

Also using equation (1), we have  $\forall n \in N$

$$\tau + F(k_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}i'_n)) \leq \alpha[F(k_b(\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}\hat{e})) + F(k_b(\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}i'_n)) + F(k_b(i'_n, i'_{n+1}\hat{e}))].$$

Hence in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(k_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}i'_n)) &\leq -\infty \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(g_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}i'_{n+1})) &\leq -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, i'_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}i'_n) = 0.$$

Since the convergent sequence  $\{i'_n\}$  converges to both  $\hat{e}$  and  $\mathcal{M}\hat{e}$ , it must be the case that  $\mathcal{M}\hat{e} = \hat{e}$ .

' $\hat{e}$ ' is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Later, we have to prove fixed point is unique, let if possible,  $\hat{e}'$  be another fixed point of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\hat{e} \neq \hat{e}'$ . Then

$$\tau + F k_b(\mathcal{M}\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}\hat{e}') \leq \alpha[F k_b(\hat{e}, \mathcal{M}\hat{e}) + F k_b(\hat{e}', \mathcal{M}\hat{e}')],$$

or

$$\tau + F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) \leq \alpha F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) < F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}'))$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) &< F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) - \tau < F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) \\ F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) &< \alpha F(k_b(\hat{e}, \hat{e}')) \end{aligned}$$

which is not possible.

Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  has a unique fixed point.

#### 4. Conclusions

We have proved a FPT on generalized  $b$ -metric space for self mapping by using  $F$ -contraction. In this theorem, this condition is used on extended  $b$ -metric space to find Picard operator having a unique fixed point.

### Acknowledgements

We convey our sincere thanks to learned referee.

### References

- [1] J. Ahmad, A. Al-Rawashdeh and A. Azam, New fixed point theorems for generalized  $f$ -contractions in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), 80.
- [2] Amini-Harandi, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012 (2012), 204.
- [3] A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Functional Analysis* (1989), 26-37.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [5] S. S. Chauhan (Gonder) and Manju, Common fixed point theorems with generalize  $f$ -contraction on dualistic partial  $b$ -metric space, *International Journal on Emerging Technologies* 10 (2019), 193-202.
- [6] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis* 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [7] M. Frechet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* (1884-1940), 22 (1906), 1-72.
- [8] N. Hussain, D. DHoric, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenovic, Suzuki-type fixed point results in metric type spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012 (2012), 126.
- [9] M. Jovanovic, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2010 (2010), 978121.
- [10] T. Kamran, M. Samreen and Q. UL Ain, A generalization of  $b$ -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics* 5 (2017), 19.
- [11] A. Lukacs and Kajanto, Fixed point theorems for various types of  $f$ -contractions in complete  $b$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory* 19 (2018), 321-334.
- [12] G. Minak, A. Helvacı and I. Altun, Ciriic type generalized-contractions on complete metric spaces and fixed point results, *Filomat* 28 (2014), 1143-1151.
- [13] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie generale* (Hermann, Paris, 1974), *Zentralblatt MATH*, 102 (1974).
- [14] H. Piri and P. Kumam, Some fixed point theorems concerning  $F$ -contraction in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014 (2014), 210.
- [15] S. Shukla, Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and Fixed point theorems, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 11 (2014), 703-711.
- [16] M. Steve, Partial metric topology, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences-Paper Edition* 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [17] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2012 (2012), 94.