

FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN *b*-METRIC SPACE

P. THIRUNAVUKARASU¹ and M. UMA²

¹PG and Research Department of Mathematics Periyar E. V. R. College (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University) Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: ptavinash1967@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics Government Arts and Science College, Kadayanallur Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India E-mail: umaleelu@gmail.com

Abstract

In this article basic definitions of *b*-metric space and complete metric space are presented. Some fixed-point theorems in *b*-metric space have been derived which are considered as the extension of the results obtained by the Hardy Rogers and Reich.

1. Introduction

In the development of non-linear analysis, fixed point theory plays a awfully vital role. Also, it's been wide utilized in completely different branches of engineering and sciences. Metric mounted purpose theory is a vital a part of mathematical analysis as a result of its applications in several areas like variation and linear inequalities, improvement and approximation theory. The mounted purpose theorem in metric areas plays a major role to construct ways to resolve the issues in arithmetic and sciences. Though metric mounted purpose theory may be a huge field of study and is capable of resolution several equations. To beat the matter of measurable functions with

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 90C70.

Received March 24, 2022; Accepted April 18, 2022

Keywords: Fuzzy Linear Programming Problem, Modified Zimmermann Method, Optimum Solution.

relevance a live and their convergence, Czerwik [7-10] desires associate degree extension of mathematical space. Mistreatment this concept, he conferred a generalization of the famous Banach mounted purpose theorem within the *b*-metric areas. Several researchers studied the *b*-metric areas like Chug [6], Aydi [1], Du [16], Boriceanu [2-4], Kir [12], Bota [5], Pacurar [15], Shi [15].

2. Preliminaries

The basic properties and definitions of b-metric spaces are highlighted by Czerwik [7]

Definition 1. *T* is the set having $s \ge 1 \in R$ then the self-map ρ on *T* is a *b*-metric if

- 1. $\rho(a, b) = 0$ iff a = b.
- 2. $\rho(a, b) = \rho(b, a)$
- 3. $\rho(a, c) \leq s[\rho(a, b) + \rho(b, c)]$ for all $a, b, c \in T$.

The pair (T, ρ) is *b*-metric space.

When, s = 1 it trim down as the metric space in standard form.

Definition 2 [7]. Let (T, ρ) be the *b*-metric spaces then $\{a_n\}$ in *T* is

1. If and providing for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in N$, so that for each $n, m \ge n(\epsilon)$ we have $\rho(a_n, a_m) < \epsilon$ for the Cauchy sequence.

2. If and providing for each $a \in T$ so that for all $\in > 0$ there exists $n(\in) \in N$, so that for every $n \leq n(\in)$ we have $\rho(a_n, a_m) < \in$ for the convergent sequence.

Definition 3 [7].

1. If (T, ρ) be the *b*-metric spaces then the subset $L \in \subset T$ is

(i) If and providing for each progression of essentials of L there are a subsequence which converges to the component of L is compact.

(ii) If and providing for every progression $\{a_n\}$ in the *L* then converge to an single element a, $a \in L$ is closed.

2. If all the progression are convergent then the b-metric space will be complete.

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. If T is the complete metric with the metric namely ρ and $M: T \to T$ is the function through the following property

 $\rho(Ma, Mb) \le r\rho(a, Ma) + s\rho(b, Mb) + t\rho(a, b)$

Every $a, b \in T$ while r, s, t are the non-negative along with persuade r + s + t < 1. Hence M has a distinctive fixed point.

Theorem 2. T be the absolute b-metric space along the metric namely ρ and $M: T \to T$ is the function through the following

$$\rho(Ma, Mb) \le r\rho(a, Ma) + s\rho(b, Mb) + t\rho(a, b)$$

Every $a, b \in T$ while r, s, t are non-negative along with persuade r + u(s+t) < 1 for $u \ge 1$ then M has a unique fixed point.

Proof of Theorem 2. When $a_o \in T$ and $\{a_n\}$ be the progression within T, such that

$$a_n = M a_{n-1} = M^n a_0$$

At present

$$\rho(a_{n+1}, a_n) = \rho(Ma_n, Ma_{n-1})$$

$$\leq r\rho(a_n, Ma_n) + s\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma_{n-1}) + t\rho(a_n, a_{n-1})$$

$$= r\rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) + s\rho(a_{n-1}, a_n) + t\rho(a_n, a_{n-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - r)\rho(a_{n+1}, a_n) \leq (s + t)\rho(a_n, a_{n-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(a_{n+1}, a_n) \leq \frac{(s + t)}{(1 - r)}\rho(a_n, a_{n-1}) = v\rho(a_n, a_{n-1})$$
Where $v = \frac{(s + t)}{(1 - r)} < \frac{1}{u}$

Enduring it gives $\rho(a_{n+1}, a_n) \leq p^n \rho(a_0, a_1)$ \Rightarrow M is the contraction mapping. Now verify $\{a_n\}$ is the Cauchy progression in T. If m, n > 0 while m > n $\rho(a_n, a_m) \leq u[\rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) + \rho(a_{n+1}, a_m)]$ $\leq u\rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) + u^2\rho(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}) + u^3\rho(a_{n+2}, a_{n-3}) + \cdots$ $\leq up^n \rho(a_0, a_1) + u^2 p^{n+1} \rho(a_0, a_1) + u^3 p^{n+2} \rho(a_0, a_1) + \cdots$ $= up^n \rho(a_0, a_1)[1 + up + (up)^2 + (up)^3 + \cdots] = \frac{(up)^n}{1 - sp} \rho(a_0, a_1)$

Infer (T, p) is the *b*-metric when $\{b_n\}$ is the progression in T so that

$$\rho(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}) \le \lambda \rho(b_n, b_{n+1}), n = 0.1, \cdots$$

If $0 \le \lambda < 1$, then the progression $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy progression in T endow with $u, \lambda < 1$.

Hence by taking $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(a_n, a_m) = 0$

 $\Rightarrow \{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy progression in *T*.

If T is complete, then $\{a_n\}$ converges to a^*

Now a^* is the fixed point of M. While

$$\rho(a^*, Ma^*) \le u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + \rho(a_n, Ma^*)]$$
$$\le u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + \rho(Ma_{n-1}, Ma^*)]$$
$$\le \frac{u}{(1 - xu)} [\rho(a^*, a_n) + y\rho(a_{n-1}, a_n) + z\rho(a_{n-1}, a^*)]$$
$$\le \frac{u}{(1 - xu)} [\rho(a^*, a_n) + yp^n\rho(a_{n-1}, a_n) + z\rho(a_{n-1}, a^*)]$$

Considering $\lim n \to \infty$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(a^*, Ma^*) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow a^* = Ma^*$$

 $\Rightarrow a^*$ is a fixed point of M. To prove the distinctiveness of the fixed point, consider *a* and *b*, 2 fixed points of *M*.

$$a = Ma = My$$

 $\rho(a, b) = \rho(Ma, Mb) \le r\rho(a, Ma) + s\rho(b, Mb) + t\rho(a, b)$

 $\rho(a, b) \leq c\rho(a, b)$

Which is not true. Hence the evidence.

Theorem 3. If (T, p) is the complete b-metric spaces and the mapping $M: T \to T$ gratify the subsequent conditions in every $a, b \in T$

$$\rho(Ma, Mb) \le r\rho(a, Ma) + s\rho(b, Mb) + t\rho(a, Mb) + e\rho(b, Ma) + f\rho(a, b)$$

while $r, s, t, e, f \in T$ are nonnegative and we set a = r + s + t + e + f, such that $a \in \left(o, \frac{1}{2u}\right)$, for $u \ge 1$ then M has a unique fixed point.

Proof of Theorem 3. If the condition (1) hold on (T, ρ) for a self map M on it. Then $a \in \left(o, \frac{1}{2u}\right)$ there exists $\beta < \frac{1}{2u}$ such that

$$\rho(Ma, M^2a) \le \beta \rho(a, Ma)$$

Let $a_o \in T$ and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in T, such that $a_n = Ma_{n-1}$, $M^n a_0$ Using (2) we get

 $\rho(a_{n+1}, a_n) \leq \beta^n \rho(a_0, a_1)$

Now we show that $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in T.

Let m, n > 0, with m > n

$$\rho(a_n, a_m) \le u[\rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) + \rho(a_{n+1}, a_m)]$$

$$\le u\rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) + u^2\rho(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}) + u^3\rho(a_{n+2}, a_{n-3}) + \cdots$$

$$\le u\beta^n\rho(a_0, a_1) + u^2\beta^{n+1}\rho(a_0, a_1) + u^3\beta^{n+2}\rho(a_0, a_1) + \cdots$$

Considering $\lim n \to \infty$ results $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(a_n, a_m) = 0$

This implies $\{a_n\}$ is the Cauchy progression in T.

If T is complete, then $\{a_n\}$ converges to a^* .

To prove a^* is fixed point of M.

While

$$\begin{split} \rho(a^*, Ma^*) &\leq u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + \rho(a_n, Ma^*)] \leq u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + \rho(Ma_{n-1}, Ma^*)] \\ &\leq u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + r\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma_{n-1}) + s\rho(a^*, Ma^*) \\ &+ \rho(a^*, Ma^*) \leq u[\rho(a^*, a_n) + r\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma_{n-1}) + s\rho(a^*, Ma^*) \\ &t\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma^*) + e\rho(a^*, Ma_n) + f\rho(a_{n-1}, a^*)] \\ &+ t\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma^*) + e\rho(a^*, Ma_{n-1}) + f\rho(a_{n-1}, Ma^*)] \\ &\text{Considering lim} n \to \infty \text{ implies } \rho(a^*, Ma^*) \leq u(s+t)\rho(a^*, Ma^*) \end{split}$$

It is a contradiction until $a^* = Ma^*$.

To prove fixed point is exclusive. Let us consider a and b be 2 fixed points of M, so that a = Ma, b = Mb.

Again

$$p(a, b) = p(Ma, Mb)$$

$$\leq rp(a, Ma) + sp(b, Mb) + tp(a, My) + ep(b, Ma) + fp(a, b)$$

$$\leq (t + e + f)p(a, b)$$

Which is a contradiction. Hence the proof.

4. Conclusion

In this article, some basic definitions and fixed-point results in the complete *b*-metric spaces are presented. The results are obtained by extending the results mentioned by the authors Reich [14] and Hardy Rogers [11] in their articles for complete metric spaces.

References

- H. Aydi, M. F. Bota, E. Karapinar and S. Mitrovie, A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in *b*-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Application, Issue 88 2012.
- [2] M. Boriccanu, Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics, Babes-Bolyai University Mathematica Studia LIV(3) (2009), 1-14.
- [3] M. Boriceanu, Fixed point theory on spaces with vector valued *b*-metrics, Demonstratio Mathematica XLII(4) (2009), 285-301.
- [4] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces. International Journal of Modern Mathematics 4(2) (2009), 285-301.
- [5] M. Bota, A. Molnar and C. Vega, On ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces, Fixed point theory 12(2) (2011), 21-28.
- [6] R. Chugh, V. Kumar and T. Kadian, Some fixed-point theorems for multivalued mappings in generalized b-metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive 3(3) (2012), 1198-1210.
- S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Mathematica at Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set valued contration mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell' Universita di Modena 46 (1998), 263-276.
- [9] S. Czerwik, K. Dlutek and S. L. Singh, Round off stability of iteration procedure for operation in *b*-metric spaces. Journal of National and Physical Sciences 11 (1997), 87-94.
- [10] S. Czerwik, K. Dlutek and S. L. Singh, Round off stability of iteration procedure for operation in *b*-metric spaces. Journal of National and Physical Sciences 15 (2001), 1-2.
- [11] G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, A generalization of fixed 2011, point theorem of reich. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin Col. 16 (1973), 201-206.
- [12] M. Kir and H. Kiziltune, On some well known fixed point theorems in *b*-metric spaces. Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory 1(1) (2013), 13-16.
- [13] M. Pacurar, A fixed point result for contractions on b-metric spaces without the boundedness assumption, Fascicyli Mathematici 43 (2010), 125-137.

4474 P. THIRUNAVUKARASU and M. UMA

- [14] S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canadian Mathematical Bulletins 14(1) (1971), 121-124.
- [15] L. Shi and S. Xu, Common fixed point theorems for two weakly compatible self-mappings in cone b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications Issue 120 (2013).
- [16] D. Weii-shih and K. Erdal, A note on cone *b*-metric and its related results: generalizations or equivalence? Fixed point theory and applications 1 (2013), 1-7.