Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences
Volume 20, Issue 11, September 2021, Pages 2585-2594

SPECTRUM OF RESEARCH CHALLENGES IN DESIGN OPTIMIZATIONS IN CYBER PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

MANAS KUMAR YOGI[1] and A. S. N. CHAKRAVARTHY[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, CSE Department
Pragati Engineering College (Autonomous)
Surampalem, A.P., India
E-mail: manas.yogi@gmail.com

[2]Professor, CSE Department
University College of Engineering
Vizianagaram, A.P., India
E-mail: chakravarthy.cse@jntukucev.ac.in

Abstract

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) permits us to control objects in the physical world by giving a correspondence connect among computation and actuation components. In the current plan of things, this searched after control is defaced by constraints inalienable in the fundamental correspondence network(s) just as by the vulnerability found in the physical world. These constraints hamper fine-grained control of components that might be isolated by huge scope separations. This paper gives a spectrum of research challenge while designing of CPS applications. This paper advocates hybrid models of computing for establishing robust CPS applications. The main theme of this paper is to advocate the principle that networking abstractions have to be renewed with the viewpoint that uncertainty in the operating environment of a CPS is always in existence. Moreover, this paper presents the inherent design aspects in a Cyber physical systems were improvements can be made by the researchers to make them more CPS trustworthy.

I. Introduction

When we integrate computational components with physical processes we obtain cyber physical systems (CPS). The physical processes are duly controlled by the embedded systems by usage of feedback loops. The cyber physical systems are undoubtedly going to revolutionize the smart world in

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68-XX.
Keywords: cyber; design, performance; physical; security; reliability.
Received October 5, 2020; Accepted October 26, 2020

near future. The CPS are present in almost every human life sphere ranging from healthcare, industry, robotics, defense systems, industrial manufacturing, energy systems, water resources, electronic communications etc. The impact of CPS on socio-economic scenario of every country is increasing day by day. There are research challenges like safety, reliability, security, performance which can be further improved by researchers [1]. Challenges are faced by CPS researchers to make smart, flexible, reliable healthcare systems, providing uninterrupted power supply from the smart grids, reduced traffic congestions by smart vehicles. In the next section, we are discussing few of the design aspects where optimizations are possible to make the CPS even more better. The plan of such frameworks, in this way, requires understanding the joint dynamics of PCs, programming, organizations, and physical measures. It is this investigation of joint dynamics that sets this discipline separated. When examining CPS, certain key issues develop that are uncommon in purported broadly useful registering. For instance, when tasks are executed in normal programming, the time it takes to give output is an issue of performance, not correctness. It isn't inaccurate to take more time to play out an assignment. It is just less advantageous and along these lines less important. In CPS, the time it takes to give an output might be basic to address working of the framework. In CPS, also, numerous things occur immediately. Physical measures are syntheses of numerous things happening simultaneously, dissimilar to programming measures, which are profoundly established in consecutive advances. In the physical world, by contrast, measures are seldom procedural. Physical processes are syntheses of many equal processes. Estimating and controlling the elements of these processes by organizing activities that impact the processes are the principle undertakings of inserted frameworks. Therefore, concurrency is inborn in CPS. Huge numbers of the specialized difficulties in planning and examining implanted programming stem from the need to connect a characteristically successive semantics with an inherently simultaneous physical world.

II. Aspects of Design Optimizations

A. Complexity Management

Entities in a CPS are tightly coupled so the traditional mathematical models to formalize the architecture of a complex system like CPS will be a shortcoming. Hence researchers are working in the direction of hybrid automata systems which represent both discrete and continuous system dynamics which are suitable for analysis and design in a CPS. The complexity of a CPS system is mainly due to the increased level of heterogeneous components with different type of processors as well as operating systems and with different networking abstractions [2]. Consequently, design optimizations are needed in the concepts of hybrid automata systems with non-trivial continuous dynamics. Another design aspect is development of discrete abstract methods for generation of hybrid automata models. When CPS are designed enough care has to be taken to make the algorithm and physical structure less complex and also care must be taken to ensure that connectivity to the low-power devices are affordable. Researchers are working overtime to face this challenge. There are numerous constraints of people while managing unpredictability of CPS. The first is the Capacity of the short term memory; the short term memory is restricted to holding and handling in the request for 7-10 "lumps" of data, where a piece alludes to one idea, which might be at various degrees of reflection and may allude to a more detailed structure held in long term memory. The subsequent issue identifies with the limit of the long term memory. While having great stockpiling ability with expand affiliation instruments, the long term memory sets aside effort to prepare and isn't inside and out. As CPS requires profound information in numerous regions, and as it takes considerable effort for an individual to turn into a profound area master, it follows that CPS advancement should include numerous individuals. From our experience we note that it is uncommon for a solitary individual to be talented in material science, rationale and spatial ideas, all of which required for an all-encompassing comprehension of a CPS. The following test is of bounded rationality and biases. We people are not as balanced as we usually might suspect. There is, for instance, a distinction in what we experience and what we recollect. Our brains, while generally working admirably, are inclined to biases including presumptuousness and a propensity to disregard or overemphasize the significance of little dangers. Recalling is liable to disregard of term and the "top end" rule, implying that we give more weight to ongoing occasions. Moreover, we are inclined to look for, and to recall, snippets of data that affirm our present conviction, which has a critical separating and in this manner biasing impact. Span of consideration of the "moderate" framework; the activation of the "moderate framework: of the brain, relates to what we could consider as "dynamic reasoning endeavors". There is an obstruction in actuating the moderate framework since it requires significant vitality. Actuating the moderate framework is advantageous when people need to manage novel contemplations past their past experience, where the "quick framework" will most likely be unable to connect to sensible answers.

B. Safety and Reliability

As the environment in a CPS is unanticipated and highly dynamic, reliability is desirable to the users. Currently uncertainly models are being used for reliable CPS design but notwithstanding few concerns [3]. The first concern is that in a CPS reliability analysis is complex for making the CPS safe. For a reliable CPS design partitioning algorithms have to be optimised. Currently the widely deployed reliability models use deterministic parameters but in design phase the cost of software components cannot be determined with precision. So, further research work has to be carried out for heuristics to develop a reliable CPS. According to conventional thought, the communication time between development teams effects the CPS reliability but the fact is time of interaction between two task components affect the degree of reliability. Safety building approaches expect to distinguish, evaluate, and oversee hazards identified with the safety of the framework, of people, and of nature. Different safety building techniques exist planned for various application areas and for various kinds of framework safety (e.g., practical safety, operational safety and so on.). Likewise with the security designing techniques case, various methodologies exist for peril analysis, issue analysis, cause analysis, and safety hazard analysis and the board. In CPS framework, disseminated controllers have private information for the whole network, such as geography information. In the event that one of the controllers is compromised, the attacker can acquire the private information of CPS framework and afterward utilize the information to demolish the normal activity of the whole framework. In this case, the privacy spillage issue stirred is incredibly genuine. Customary methods of sharing private information give numerous opportunities to malicious attacker. The zero-day attack gives a clear example of the attacks. To construct confided in connection, the controller cannot complete shared trust with no private information. Giving all the private information to the authentication will cause a security spill once it is infected. Giving fractional information is a promising arrangement. Security authentication and route provisioning in case of privacy protection are challenging in CPS framework because of the difficulties in utilizing incomplete information for security authentication. Therefore, new technologies are expected to give keen control over CPS to consistent and effective security authentication just as route provisioning.

C. Security and Privacy

In a CPS, there are multiple sources of anomalous behaviour. These sources may belong to sensor domain, physical domain, actuation domain or networking domain. Uncertainties may occur along with failure in functional components of a CPS. The challenges in security aspects arise from understanding the nature of threats, consequences of attacks and how to counter the attacks [4]. When compared to traditional IT security, Optimization is needed in these aspects: detection, prevention, recovery. The first design optimization to improve security in a CPS is the way CPS are controlled .In conventional computing systems, updates are installed to increase system security but in a CPS this mechanism is ill-suited. In a CPS, up gradation means system downtime which affects the availability. Currently technologies used to provide network security represent protection of data but they do not indicate in depth protection of control data for CPS. Designers of CPS should focus on research work to understand the results of an attack like what will the adversary do after gaining unauthorised access to the CPS components. The next aspect where security optimization is needed is design of robust attack detection algorithms. These algorithms should be modelled by understanding how the attacker can tamper control elements or the sensor data to make the behaviour of a physical process erratic. Currently systems like SCADA have concentrated on protection of system against random faults but not focused on malicious attacks on a CPS. There is a greater need to bring sensor networks working under high standard communication protocols. Optimizations are needed while designing hop by hop as well as end to end integrity protocols.

D. Timing and Concurrency

The CPS involves highly concurrent execution parties. However most of the modern programming languages lack in their semantics the temporal properties. The most widely and popular multithreading programming concept used for parallel execution of processes in CPS is very much difficult to use due to its abstraction. The current networking technologies introduce substantial processing delays and thus increase the degree of unpredictability in a CPS [5]. Subsequently this area of research has to be explored further during CPS design. Software engineering measure upgrades alone won't carry out the responsibility. Another methodology that can help is the utilization of verified plan designs for concurrent calculation .Indeed; these are an enormous assistance when the developer's errand recognizably coordinates one of the examples. Be that as it may, there are two challenges. One is that usage of the examples, even with cautious directions, is as yet unobtrusive and dubious. Software engineers will make errors, and there are no versatile strategies for naturally checking consistence of usage to designs. More importantly, the examples can be hard to join. Their properties are not commonly composable, and consequently nontrivial programs that require utilization of more than one example are probably not going to be justifiable. A typical utilization of examples in concurrent calculation is found in information bases, especially with the thought of transactions. Transactions support theoretical unsynchronized calculation on a duplicate of the information followed by a commit or abort. A commit happens when it very well may be indicated that no contentions have happened. Transactions can be supported on dispersed equipment (as is normal for information bases), or in software on shared-memory machines, or, most strikingly, in equipment on shared-memory machines. In the last case, the procedure networks well with reserve consistency conventions that are required in any case on these machines. Transactions dispense with unintended stops, however in spite of late augmentations for composability, stay a profoundly non deterministic communication system. They are appropriate to inherently non determinate circumstances, where for model various actors contend non deterministically for assets. In any case, they are not appropriate for building determinate concurrent communications. The fact is that options in contrast to threads have been around for quite a while, but threads overwhelm the concurrent programming language landscape [6]. There are, in fact, numerous obstacles to these other options flourishing. Likely the most important is that the very thought of programming, and the core abstractions of computation, are profoundly established in the successive worldview. The most broadly utilized programming languages (by a long shot) stick to this worldview. Syntactically, threads are either a minor expansion to these languages (as in Java) or only an outside library. Semantically, of course, they thoroughly disturb the fundamental determinism of the languages. Lamentably, software engineers appear to be more guided by syntax than semantics. The options in contrast to threads that have flourished, as MPI and open MP, share this equivalent key component. They roll out no syntactic improvement to languages. Choices that replace these languages with totally new syntax, such as Erlang or Ada, have not flourished, what's more, likely won't. Indeed, even languages with minor syntactic modifications to set up languages, like Split-C or Cilk, remain esoteric. The message is clear. We ought not replace built up languages. We ought to rather expand on them. Be that as it may, expanding on them utilizing just libraries isn't satisfactory. Libraries offer close to nothing structure, no enforcement of examples, and not many composable properties. We accept that the correct answer is coordination languages. Coordination languages do introduce new syntax, yet that syntax fills needs that are orthogonal to those of built up programming languages. Though a general-purpose concurrent language like Erlang or Ada needs to include syntax for everyday tasks such as arithmetic articulations, a coordination language need not specify anything over coordination.

III. Future Directions

Regardless of extensive advancement in dialects, documentations, and instruments, serious issues persevere. Practically speaking, framework mix, variation of existing plans, and interoperation of heterogeneous subsystems stay major hindrances that cause venture disappointments. We accept that model-based plan, as generally rehearsed today, to great extent neglects to profit from the standards of stage based plan because of its absence of regard for the semantics of heterogeneous subsystem creation. We accept that imperatives that lead to all around characterized and interoperable models have possibly far more noteworthy worth. All the more significantly, such requirements are fundamental for these demonstrating structures to turn into a focal aspect of a stage based designing practice. The test is to recognize which imperatives give the most worthwhile as yet conceding helpful plans. In future there is have to improve the cycles and associations for CPS. Cycles and associations for CPS should have the option to expressly address synchronization and combination among the different perspectives and parts of a CPS, and consider coordinated life-cycle building. The distinction in speed of improvement of programming and equipment needs unequivocal consideration (synchronized cycles, rendition and variation the board, coordinated versus security rehearses), upheld by structures, and check and approval strategies. Key viewpoints for the effective advancement of CPS incorporate sagacious administration and the utilization of reconciliation systems among groups for huge scope CPS. In future the Model based building frameworks and systems for information the executives as plan aides might be thought of. People and associations will require much better help for managing with future CPS. Thinking about enormous scope CPS, intends to help productive and compelling correspondence among individuals/groups will turn out to be significantly more significant. Instances of regions with solid potential for managing the results of intricacy incorporate representation, enlarged/augmented experience, and discernibility and change the executives (for example overseeing interrelations), information examination, computerization, and improved help for enormous scope simultaneous designing.

Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) abilities as for semantic and relevant comprehension and in managing a lot of information will be important [7]. Progress in AI is probably going to furnish totally new abilities to manage huge numbers of those issues, including information investigation, model union, and in giving choice help. Considering DevOps, CAE frameworks and hidden speculations, ideas and techniques should be produced for data and information the board that includes the whole life-cycle. A superior comprehension is additionally required for how to adjust static examination, reproduction, and physical tests, and how they can supplement one another. Regarding Design and architecting, new models and building portrayals are expected to help wellbeing, security and accessibility, while overseeing advancement including programming updates. Standards, collaboration conventions, and designs are likewise expected to help adaptability, heartiness and shirking of symptoms among cooperating CPS parts of a CPSoS (Cyber physical systems of Systems). Further, new techniques and models are required that unequivocally oversee connections between extra-useful properties, join vulnerability and ideas of dynamic danger the executives, endeavouring to relieve hazard even notwithstanding the obscure. The function of Software as empowering agent must be given more clarity of mind. Programming joins shrouded costs and depends on broad programming resources that merit consideration on account of their basic effect on end framework properties. Better bits of knowledge and cost models are expected to improve mindfulness. Programming frameworks structure a basic and developing piece of CPS [8]. Improvement approaches need to fuse centre parts of the two frameworks and programming building. The product networks need to grasp and unequivocally consider the different immediate and aberrant physical impacts of programming. The last improvement can be in the zone of interfaces and interrelations the board. CPS will have interfaces and interrelations all over the place, across frameworks, segments, information, models, devices and individuals. Framework level approaches need to bargain substantially more unequivocally with these, including their plan, examination and the executives.

III. Conclusion

This work has been motivated by the increasing use of cyber physical systems in almost every part of human life. The paper discusses consciously the design aspects which can be optimized further to make the CPS more dependable. This paper will act as a readymade guide to design engineers who have the urge to use state of art tools and technologies build upon novel abstractions of computing and networking components. We need a class of self-adaptive algorithms to be developed in the direction of CPS which will leverage existing knowledge and tools of mankind. The intrinsic heterogeneity, concurrency, and affectability to timing of CPSs present many demonstrating challenges. Much of the current work in demonstrating has insufficiently relevant semantics to sufficiently address these issues. We have watched some encouraging technologies that can help, including half and half framework demonstrating and re-enactment, concurrent and heterogeneous models of computations, the utilization of area specific ontologies to enhance seclusion, and the joint displaying of functionality and execution architectures.

References

[1] K.-D. Kim and P. R. Kumar, Cyber-Physical Systems: A Perspective at the Centennial, Proceedings of the IEEE 100, no. Special Centennial Issue (2012), 1287-1308.

[2] R. Rajkumar, I. Lee, L. Sha and J. Stankovic, Cyber-Physical Systems: The Next Computing Revolution, in Proceedings of the 47th Design Automation Conference. ACM, (2010), 731-736.

[3] L. Sha, S. Gopalakrishnan, X. Liu and Q. Wang, Cyber-physical systems: A new frontier, in IEEE International Conference on Sensor Networks, Ubiquitous and Trustworthy Computing (2008), 1-9.

[4] R. Poovendran, Cyber-Physical Systems: Close Encounters Between Two Parallel Worlds, Proceedings of the IEEE 98(8) (2010), 1363-1366.

[5] E. A. Lee and H. Zheng, Leveraging synchronous language principles for heterogeneous modeling and design of embedded systems, in Proc. Int. Conf. Embedded Softw, Salzburg, Austria, 2007, 114-123. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1289927.1289949

[6] E. A. Lee, Cyber-physical systems Are computing foundations adequate? In Proc. Workshop Cyber-Physical Syst., Res. Motiv. Tech. Roadmap, Austin, TX, 2006. [Online]. Available: http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu/publications/papers/06/CPSPositionPaper/

[7] B. Selic, The pragmatics of model-driven development, IEEE Softw., 20(5) (2003), 19-25.

[8] J. Sztipanovits and G. Karsai, Model-integrated computing, IEEE Computer 34(4) (1997), 110-111.